The Reds Bring Reaction

==

T. L GHENT

HX 61 • G5

### THE REDS BRING REACTION

BY W. J. GHENT

#### PRINCETON

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY PRESS: LONDON: HUMPHREY MILFORD
OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

1113 A RY
Squarquest I and servers College
San storees, Team

16:740

1000 A

Copyrighted and Published 1923 by the Princeton University Press: The: Princeton: University: Princeton: U:s:a:

### REFACE

slogans which take on different meanings with every turn of stands. Thus there is need of ample and varied store of proit (if anything) at close hand, and how much of it he underare used. The terms "liberty," "democracy," "social justice," significance according to where, and when, and by whom, they events. The words of our supposedly common tongue have with passionate bitterness at cross-purposes, and shouting into many factions, constantly shifting their ground, fighting tests) into one or the other of two main camps, are grouped they seem to range themselves (and do on all the ultimate where rages a confused conflict; and the combatants, though first fixing a point of view. The field scanned is a broad one, counsels the writer ever to keep in mind, will be best served by That economy of the reader's effort which Herbert Spencer on where he is or has been in the struggle, what he has seen of to the minds of its framers and users proclaimed armed insurphrase "revolutionary implications of the mass strike," which can not mean to most people what they mean to a defender of plainer what follows. its length by fulfilling the Spencerian injunction and making "both matter, form and style;" but which will, I think, justify which will in fact be a chapter in itself, which will traverse legomena. And therefore this preface-which will run far, rhetoric. What any one means by any of these terms depends rection, was held to be merely an innocent display of turgid Bolshevism. We shall see later on that in an historic case the

Some of this matter has already appeared in print, mainly in The Weekly Review, now The Independent. Though sen-

PREFACE

saying about the stupidity, ignorance and venality of Consaid in effect, and keep on repeating. I have heard of a living here to the point of excess, and that even the good Dr. Holmes dramatic critiques, philological dissertations and may ever gressmen; and this saying, I am led to believe both by my owr writer who once, some years ago, framed a pithy and pretty sanction of the Autocrat of the Breakfast Table. Repeat, he are who go far beyond this usage; and they have, I know, the periodical, and once again in a book. Some thrifty souls there age thuswise: once (perhaps twice) in conversation, once in a to the unwritten code one may use the things of one's own mintand much new matter has been added. I take it that according would have drawn the line somewhat to leeward. have fashioned in rhyme. I feel that there is self-indulgence book reviews, essays, editorials, editorial paragraphs, sketches. researches and by others' testimony, he has since repeated in the parts have been rearranged and almost wholly rewritten tences and even paragraphs here and there remain unaltered

moner and broader usage; and it has the advantage that the more securely in power. "Reaction," however, has the comof social warfare once wholly discredited; and on the other meant is the swingback, the return, on the one hand, of a sion" or "regression" would be the better word-for what is the lessons and standards of civilization and turns back to course of action. This reaction is thus of two kinds—that of fied as a conscious power, willing and carrying on a certain thing which it names (though imperfectly) may be personihand, of another element of society, determined to place itself considerable element of society to states of mind and methods fanaticism, Jesuitry and physical force; and that of the exthe extreme radicals, the Reaction of the Left, which rejects This book is a commentary on the present reaction. "Rever-

> privileges and to recover its lost ground occasion of a period of social upheaval to regain its former treme conservatives, the Reaction of the Right, which makes

a dictatorship of fanatics, the other in favor of an oligarchy against society as a whole; but the winnings, whatever they a thinly disguised serfdom. Apparent foes, they work together popular plaything. Though both wings profess to serve the extract the substance of democracy, leaving the form for a have been starved or bayonetted into submission; while the of wealth. The Left promises to restore democracy in some are, go wholly to the Right. cause of mankind, the one seeks an actual slavery, the other Right, making no promise for the future, proposes only to future imagined millennium, but only after all the disaffected Both of these wings reject democracy—the one in favor of

steeped in privation and misery, it must expect, from time to society insists upon keeping on hand such stores of inflammafor all his stage-play, is a fanatic and a firebrand. So long as its existence is quite another. The revolutionary Communist, course, is not to be slighted. To ridicule the bombast and prelavish gifts of men and women of wealth. This danger, of enon is mere theatricism and charlatanry, supported by the in what it openly threatens, for indeed much of this phenommoment lies the greater danger. The danger is not so much chief danger lies in the fact that the tumult and shouting of time, what follows from the touch of flame to tinder. But the ble material in the form of large sections of the working class tense in which it expresses itself is one thing; to pooh-pooh the Left inevitably strengthens the Reaction of the Right. Chiefly the book deals with the Left, because in that at the

unique in history, a band of merciless fanatics usurped the The seeming exception is Russia, wherein, under conditions

PREFACE

grant it exceptional powers for the common defense. Even and such perfect stage accessories, as during these last four it been so artistically presented, with such skillful mummers mediate. The tragi-comedy is as old as history, but never has society to defend itself, and the response is adequate and ima Roman soothsayer, it calls, in the name of the high gods, on Both serve it equally well. Though it chuckles in its sleeve like distinctions in the matter between the real and the pretentious. thrasonical threats, the result is the same. The Right makes no police spies), given to burlesque antics, sounding phrases and aggregation of factionaries and adventurers (including a few when, as now in these United States, this Left is mainly a small the Left threatens, the Right arms; and the people willingly have fortified capitalist reaction throughout the world. When have brought their own country to famine and ruin, and they firing squad, maintained their sway. They succeeded; but they supreme power and have since, by the secret tribunal and the

The Great War was no more tragic an outcome to the hopes awakened by the orderly progress of social democracy than is this shattering of the confident expectations of a new order engendered during the war's last year. The worst is that as yet there is small sign of promise. That any of these voluble revolutionaries can believe in the possibility of the violent overturn which he predicts for these United States seems incredible, so lacking is any slightest indication of fulfilment. Yet indubitably some of them do believe it; and some others, to whom revolutionism is adventure, or notoriety, or sex, or a mealticket, profess to believe it; and these join hands and mingle voices and constitute themselves (with certain additions from the Department of Justice and the local detective bureaus) the vanguard of the revolution. The near-Left, that sorry thing

which misnames itself Liberalism, but which is an utter negation of most of what historic Liberalism has stood for, helps them along with smooth words and canting phrases; and that motion of the wealthy who care for this kind of thing as a form of amusement or excitement, tender their contributions. So the thing flourishes, and so the Right battles against it, and social progress is checked, and the circle is perpetually renewed.

could for a period have reverted to the infantilism which says anny, repression and cruelty. They will marvel that men and civilization could have found excuses for the violation of or developed, and they will find no answer. They will marve the most primal rights of men-excuses for usurpation, tyrthat men who professed to speak for democracy and liberty Salem witchcraft, and will wonder how it could have arisen and common honesty. In after-days men will look back upon forth another Huxley, with his saving gospel of common sense Our good Earth Mother will travail long before she brings bred men, and we may not look upon their like for many years this epidemic of pro-Bolshevism as men now look back upon Gloomy Dean is right: the spacious times of great Victoria certain world; and one of these was that vicious means in bethrough them we had settled a few things in this highly un-We had great teachers a generation ago; and we thought that gard the much-abused Jesuit as a person cruelly maligned tice put forth a propaganda which might well cause one to rethe present-day teachers who in the name of liberty and jusvists, here lumped together as the near-Left. For these are half of a desired end were not to be tolerated. Certainly the ical intellectuals, pseudo-Liberals, and others, all pro-Bolshemanifestations more deplorable, than with this element of rad-Nowhere is this reaction more evident, and nowhere are its

PREFACE

destructive to the movement for social progress I cannot If there could be, all things considered, a propaganda more imagine what that would be. It is helpful only to the Reaction press itself, but that instead it seeks its end by equivocation that it is furtive and cowardly, that it will not candidly exso much of this propaganda of ethical dualism is not open; and straightforwardness in the radical." It is worse yet that that what is false and distorted in the conservative is truth in Lenin; that what is wrong in the old is right in the young; fellow is right for me; that what is wrong in the Czar is right fectly open human insistence that what is wrong for the other lieve that a greater menace than secret diplomacy is the permats, "any number of things that are a greater menace. I beboles of Mr. G. Lowes Dickinson on the menace of secret diplowrites Mr. Simeon Strunsky in reply to some of the hyperthat what is right for me is wrong for you. "I can imagine,"

This tide of conservative reaction reached its most violent stage some time in 1919; its farthest height and sweep in November, 1920. A slight ebb has followed; but as a people we are pretty much where we were two years ago. In certain circles of insurgency there has been much hallooing over the results of the elections of 1922. A study of these results, however, gives small warrant for this exultation. The pendulum has swung, as biennially it is wont to do; one of the chief parties has lost strength, and consequently the other has gained. But the amazing phenomenon is shown, throughout a large part of the country, of progressive and standpatter elected by exactly the same votes. A Governor wins on one platform and a majority of the Legislature on an opposing one. A Senator, mildly progressive, of one party is elected, and so is a 1 New York Evening Post, April 22, 1922.

solid delegation of standpat Representatives. Such contradictions are general; never, it would appear, have votes been so confusedly thrown about. The undug potatoes and unhauled grain of the Northwest translated themselves into ballots against the dominant section of the party in power. Kukluxism, prohibition and a dozen other secondary issues, local or general, combined in the registering of an undetermined result. Yet out of it all has emerged by chance a group of seven new Senators (six of them elected and one appointed) each with some part of a social program. That is something; and let no one disparage this fragment of a victory. But it is not the sweeping triumph one reads of in the insurgent press. Conservative reaction still keeps the saddle.

joyment. With others, especially among the most exploited who are not partisans either of the Right or of the Left are there is dissatisfaction, resentment, unrest; and there comes indifferent to social issues and concerned only with gain or enlost, since there are so few who care to listen. Most of those cess, have combatted both these reactions, was itself caught in the field, the Socialist Party of America, which might have in that direction futile. The one organized movement already tice. But the chaos of counsels has so far made all attempts organize this unrest into an effective demand for social justhe sense of a more or less definite goal to be reached, able to gressive movement, animated by high purposes and guided by one may wonder why there is not—a strong Liberal or Protime, skeptical of schemes and systems. There might be—and know not where to turn or what to do, and they are, for the from them a vague clamor against ill conditions. But they in a reactionary tide which swept it first into Germanism pointed a way, and which might, with some measure of suc-The argument for a reconstructed order is for the moment

then into anti-Americanism, and later into Bolshevism; and though now, repudiated by both the Bolshevists of Russia and the Bolshevists of America, it has again turned somewhat toward its earlier policies, its power and its influence have been irretrievably lost. It has left a sorry record, which can never be expunged and never explained away.

To that movement, in its better days, I contributed my services—disinterestedly, as I believe, and as I think my onetime comrades will cheerfully concede. Out of a loyalty that shrank from the thought of separation I kept to the party longer than I should have done; for from the beginning of the great struggle I saw clearly enough the drift toward what followed. The result of the party referendum on preparedness in the winter of 1915-16 ought to have decided me; yet still the old tie proved strong; and in the vain hope that the drift would be checked I stayed on. Further and plainer evidences of the swelling tide of furor Teutonicus in the party followed. I saw the outcome. Before America entered the war I was done.

But though I long ago repudiated the party, I see no reason for repudiating the principles I then professed. The convictions born of a lifelong experience in the working-class movement can not be overthrown by the recreancy of a group or party. What I then meant by Socialism I now mean; and what I then believed about Socialism I still believe. Those with whom I then associated used the same terms as I; through conference and controversy we tested them over and over again, and we meant by them the same things. If now and then there were differences, they were but Carlylean instances of "except in opinion not disagreeing." The regression is theirs, not mine; they are the real apostates. I found no difficulty, once I understood them, in accepting the fundamental dogmas. One may accept a dogma for use, as a pragma-

that takes up an hypothesis; or one may, with the fanatic, seize it for abuse. The theory of the class struggle, that bugbear of no many social-minded persons, seemed to be valid, when rightly understood, as it still seems. And yet the abuse of that theory might well set the world in flames.

matter is one of common interest), they are able to say what where the collectivist of sober coloring can not hope to follow. world the Reds and the near-Reds climb to points of vantage of income-getting they have all the advantage. In the literary opulent revolutionaries, radicals and Liberals of the Left (and sit at the receipt of manuscripts and say to this, "Be thou book shall be showered with praise, what condemned and what ple income, move me to wonder. In the more legitimate modes denouncing the capitalist system, to extract from it such amwhat scores of them there are!) who know so well how, while have given what I had to give, and have taken-not cheertheir occasional denunciations of the capitalist system must In all this they have things pretty much their own way; and they post o'er land and ocean in ease and luxurious comfort. the great journals-often conspicuously reactionary onespublished!" and to that, "Be thou damned!" In the service of ignored. In the editorial offices and the publishing houses they Thus intrenched, and acting in zealous unison (so long as the fully, I confess, but without clamor—the penalty. Those The cause of Socialism is still my cause. To that cause I

History," which deserves a wide reading, deals with this subject impressively. With much of the work I am in cordial agreement—particularly with that part which treats of the abuse of this theory by the Socialist Party of America. I am in less agreement (though open to conviction) with that part which censures the arousing of class consciousness. It has always seemed to me that a class which sincerely identifies its own interests with the interests of all mankind (the phrase, I think, is Labriola's) will be unlikely to go wrong, to plunge into anti-social actions, to take on the savage morality of a mediæval peasants' revolt or of a Bolshevile conspiracy.

well as an expression of inner feeling. therefore be taken somewhat as a ritual of group technique as

comed are those who in past times have most vocally, and for end; and the most highly recompensed and most warmly welgentsia to Mr. Hearst's payroll has welcome and manna at the than those of Ormus and of Ind and all the rest of the exhaust surgent boobery, that unfailing reservoir of treasures richer of getting on; and what is good for oneself must necessarily beaten pathway leading from the tents of the radical intelliless East. And then there is Mr. Hearst. The broad and wellbe good for the cause. Many are the modes of bilking the into a social ideal. To the emancipated, numberless are the ways regard certain gainful activities as inconsistent with loyalty which lead to self-imposed limitations-which cause one to is from those little superstitions, survivals of a past age, The more extreme the revolutionist, the more emancipated he they have, in the more questionable ones, a stark monopoly As they have all the advantage in the more legitimate means

shift of position would have been termed an instance of impudent and cynical venality, or something like that, and the doers would have been permanently discredited. Today, such is the morass into which the Bolshevized radical movement has sunk, that the act prompts not even an unfavorable comment. That what is profit for oneself must necessarily be then suddenly some of them, at fat salaries, took editorial jobs under Mr. Hearst, and some others, at fat prices for their wares, began publishing their writings in Mr. Hearst's newspapers and periodicals. Ten years ago, when the radical movement had ideals and standards, such a shift of position would have been termed an increase. of the people, or according to others, the diabolist, the enemy of society, the corrupter of men's souls. In the nature of things he can hardly be both. One who honestly holds the former view may of course honestly write or edit for Mr. Hearst for pay. What is here dealt with is a wholly the content of th different matter. We have had, for the last dozen years or so, a group of paladins of civic virtue, rather noisy indeed in their professions, who stood as the special guardians of society against the machinations of Mr. Hearst. To them Mr. Hearst was everything he ought not to be, and they published him to the four quarters of the world as a monster. And profit for the "cause," is the accepted morality; and to glean while the gleaning is good, is the current rule of action. be either, as some regard him, the noble, zealous and disinterested tribune It will be just as well to put this matter more bluntly. Mr. Hearst may

ants of Mr. Hearst placed high in the hierarchy of his forces anything more picturesque than one of the long-time assailderbilt. Not so the anti-Hearstians. They come high: for they "The anti-monopolists come easiest," said Commodore Vanpicturesque effects. And certainly one must travel far to find pretty wit—a wit that expresses itself not in words but in things evil. Mr. Hearst, I take it, has small humor, but a the longest periods, denounced Mr. Hearst as the sum of all know they can get the price.

nature and its interests—and that in a reasonably short time men could have Socialism, or whatever "ism" accords with its would but serve to store up fresh energy for new advances and the inertia of the mass, these rests and breathing spaces it would halt, from flagging energy, due to the deadweight and march forward triumphantly; and though now and then reason and common sense, would sweep this obstacle away, tionary of the Right. An orderly movement, based upon truth, perhaps some other. What blocks the way to social reconstruc-Perhaps that order would be Socialism (as I firmly believe), back over the toilsome years in which he has served the cause mournful verdict from Thomas Wentworth Higginson-not service to community Socialism; one gets much the same lunatic, the adventurer, the social Jesuit. It is they that divide tion, disillusion, hopelessness, is the fanatic, the emotional What really thwarts the movement, what brings disintegration is not, in the last analysis, the conservative or the reaction. This was what Horace Greeley saw at the end of his long the movement and at the same time strengthen the opposi-I am one of those who believe that this sorely tried race of but a sincere reformer and a true man; and one the twilight reflection of every veteran who looks

For Socialism (or Sociocracy, or whatever we are to have)

XVII

PREFACE

tional handbooks. cordingly they ordered the preparation of a series of informafor each worker to learn the technique of his industry, and acthey decided that the most necessary thing for the present is a recent convention, though holding fast to their phrases, ness, have begun to understand a part of this truth; for in seventeen years of futile wandering and shouting in the wildernouncer of capitalism. Even the turbulent I. W. W.s, after cation of a crop pest does more for humankind than the deexpert in a government bureau who makes his career the eradibe fatal to its success. The man who can organize a workshop lutionary catch-phrase; and the underpaid and little known the future society than the man who can merely frame a revoparticipation of these beings in any Socialist experiment would all respects the antithesis of what Socialism demands, and the ment of the revolutionaries and their near-Left allies, are in for the most efficient production is more truly the herald of The moods, the natures, the temperaments, the mental equiprelations to the needs, the capacities and the nature of men. for scientific judgment. Above all, it calls for unselfish service. It calls for study and thought, for careful experimentation, is, in its best sense, Adjustment. It is the fitting of economic

The Red, with his near kin, is the perpetual hinderer and disorganizer of the social movement. In the main he is an egotist, and his business is self-expression. If he is sincere, he is a fanatic: the advancement of the race and the expression of his ego are mutual processes, and nothing can prove to him that one is ever inconsistent with the other. If he is not sincere, he is of course untroubled with the need for justifications. In any case his temperament is largely histrionic; he must always act a part. When he learns from Moscow that he must "engage in illegal activities," he means to play the role, no mat-

most needs is a practicable means of ridding itself of the Red thing, somehow, must be done; for what this sad old world what it regards as intolerable annoyances. The law against of society, which has rough and ready ways of dealing with him would be to turn him over to the untender ministrations be attended by greater danger. To wipe out the law against because it ceases to be dangerous. But, in fact, the role would ant, showy and usually profitable role is not to be abandoned hand, would complete legal tolerance eliminate him; his pleasstasy of a not too arduous martyrdom. Nor, on the other merely exchanges the thrill of daring adventure for the ecthe danger line, he gets caught in the toils; but in that case he sion he invites and enjoys. Occasionally, by playing too near pression does not eliminate him; a certain amount of represno Lincolns left, and the problem remains. Government revations, make him ridiculous before the world. But we have him is the problem. A Lincoln could, with a few shrewd obserter to what absurd antics it carries him. What to do with and his company of social obstructors. him is a more considerate friend than he imagines. Yet some-

Los Angeles, California December, 1922

W. J. G

### CONTENTS

APPENDIX B: Selected References	APPENDIX A: The Twenty-One Points	VI. THE REJECTED ALTERNATIVE	V. THE SUPER-RADICALS	IV. THE HANGING LIST	III. THE REDS	II. THE SOCIALIST PARTY	I. THE ARMISTICE AND AFTER	PREFACE
109	99	89	75	67	43	19	<b>–</b>	٥

### CHAPTER I

## THE ARMISTICE AND AFTER

#### PART ONE

the profit system is broken," wrote Mr. William Allen White new world of social justice was to be ushered in. "The back of old world, with its bitter evils, had fallen asunder, and that a of both Right and Left, the confident belief was held that the victory. Everywhere, except among the extreme reactionaries except service to one's fellowmen, and that in but a short time racies of birth and wealth had gone; that nothing now counted upon which the passing world was founded." In the same new world has abandoned much of the fundamental doctrine in September, 1918. "Capital is permanently hamstrung. The mocracy." Her participation had resulted in an overwhelming entered the war professedly "to make the world safe for dethan that immediately following the armistice. America had month Mr. Charles M. Schwab declared that the old aristocgathering at Newark on March 20 of the same year, he said: Wilson. In a letter written some months earlier, read to a No one expressed this belief more strongly than President there would be "no sharp distinctions between rich and poor." HERE was never in the United States a more propitious time for the institution of far-reaching social reforms

"Every sign of these terrible days of war and revolutionary change, when economic and social forces are being released upon the world whose effect no political seer dare venture to conjure, bids us search our hearts through and through and make them ready for the birth of a new day—a day, we hope and

believe, of greater opportunity and greater prosperity for the average mass of struggling men and women, and of greater safety and opportunity for children."

Even the extreme radicals, though they scoffed at what they called the delusions of the moment, prophesied a new epoch. It was to come, they said, not in the ordinary course of events, not by a bourgeois reconstruction, but by a proletarian revolution. By one means or another, according to the general belief, fundamental changes were soon to be wrought.

The talk of a revolution was of course fatuous. But for the widespread confidence in a great social advance there was ample basis. Never before had a state pushed so far the principle of social control. The Government had seized the merchant marine, the railroads, the telegraphs and the cables. It had extended its authority to the coal mines, the spruce forests, the grain fields, the elevators and the grist mills; it had created elaborate machinery for keeping peace in the basic industries between capital and labor and for obtaining the maximum production. Organized labor, the only part of labor which is articulate and which can be dealt with as an entity, had shown its strong loyalty throughout the war, and with good reason expected marked concessions in its demands for better wages and conditions. A victorious democracy, such was the prevalent view, could do nothing else than move steadily forward.

Yet nothing came in fulfilment of these confident expectations. The revolution predicted by the radical extremists oozed out in rhetoric and stage-play. A year later found most of the embryo Lenins and Trotzkys, each of whom had looked upon himself as a possible dictator of the proletariat, in jail or on their personally conducted tours abroad, and their American dupes or abbetters having a hard time with the law. Nor did

## THE ARMISTICE AND AFTER

If, as Mr. White had said, "capital is permanently hamstrung," the fact but shows that this lively creature is able to get along well enough without its tendons of Achilles. If, as Mr. Schwab had prophesied, only a short time would be needed to wipe out all "sharp distinctions between rich and poor," the reckoning would seem to be not that of the calendar, but of a geologist. And if the President's exhortation to all of us, some months earlier, to "search our hearts through and through and make them ready for the birth of a new day," is to be followed, the rite would appear to demand an extended period of spiritual preparation and of watchful waiting. The new day not only failed to appear, but even at this later time shows no sail in the offing.

#### PART TWO

Whar really happened was something very different. Within a year after the armistice we were in the midst of a tide of reaction which threatened to sweep away every social achievement gained during not only the war, but the two previous decades. By that time or a bit later the whole fabric of social control had been rent and ravelled. The telegraphs and cables had gone back to their former owners; the railroads, too, with enormous bonuses. The elaborate machinery for the settlement of labor disputes was being scrapped; and just enough of it was being maintained to penalize labor, as in the case of the control was abandoned, profiteering became rampant; and everything was being returned to the status in which it stood

at some time before the war. In greater or less degree the process has continued.

showed conservative reaction at its wonted game of taking full advantage of an opportunity. tee, State laws against syndicalism-all these phenomena New York Legislature, the appointment of the Lusk Commitand newspaper offices, the expulsion of the Socialists from the mob violence inspired from above, raids upon meeting places fight un-Americanism wherever it appears." Deportations, proposal," and "a million volunteers" were called for "to a propaganda for health insurance, a "wholly un-American This association was assailed on the ground that it carried on ored body, the American Association for Labor Legislation. ican principles," aimed its first stroke at that useful and honfight every theory that, in its judgment, "controverted Amerup the propaganda. One of these, announcing its purpose to gether for equal denunciation. Societies of national scope took and progressive, anarchist and trade-unionist were lumped totwenty-years struggle. Revolutionist and reformer, Bolshevist and the recall—and indeed most of what had been gained in a methods of democracy such as the initiative, the referendum free press, trade-unionism, the collective bargain, particular of objectives. It aimed at free speech, free assemblage and a drive, begun against outright sedition and the threat of revolutionary violence, came day by day to include a wider sweep Co-incident was the drive against so-called radicalism. This

The campaign overreached itself, and its more extravagant manifestations have ceased. But the movement remains, and so does the spirit which animated it. What it was at its peak is a thing not to be forgotten—a thing to be remembered as a warning for future times. Though the Left specializes in fanaticism and cruelty, it has no monopoly on these savage

## THE ARMISTICE AND AFTER

any element determined to call them forth. What many of these crusaders of the Right thought and said three years ago; what others of them thought and did not care to say, and what unquestionably many of them still think, was impressively summed up in an editorial published in the Seattle Business Chronicle and reproduced as a display advertisement a few days later (Nov. 18, 1919) in the Tacoma Ledger and the Seattle Post-Intelligencer. Its most striking paragraph is the following:

and menacing condition." degree than any other single agency for the present chaotic affairs of the world and the affairs of the American people. administration at Washington has made a mess alike of the hounded until driven beyond the horizon of civic decency. The must be outlawed by public opinion and hunted down and the whole motley crew of Bolshevists and near-Bolshevists malcontents, anarchists, Syndicalists, revolutionists, traitors, pro-German Socialists, the closed-shop unions, the agitators, the so-called Triple Alliance in the State of Washington, the birth. We must deport all aliens. The Non-Partisan League, We must imprison for life all its aiders and abetters of native this gigantic conspiracy of murder, pillage and revolution. ganization in the land. We must put to death the leaders of hands of the present administration is responsible in greater It is simple truth to state that the Federal Government in the "We must smash every un-American and anti-American or

It is easy enough at this time to deny that three years ago any considerable body of American citizens could have shared in sentiments so debased. Yet if men's memories were not so short they would find in these words, when scanned again, a typical expression of the temper of the conservative extremists at that time—and an expression no less of the temper of a large part of the general citizenship. The Reaction of the

Right had conquered: it ruled, moreover, as it still rules, not by usurpation, but by a franchise from the people. It found, as it always finds, an easy task in persuading the people that it is not the worst evil in the world—that, in certain crises, it is a refuge against an intolerable menace.

### PART THREE

the Bolshevists-an outburst that during the nine months folposition to the war and later by infatuation for the régime of outburst of revolutionism, inspired first by Germanophile ophis closeness to it, escaped his notice. The real cause was the one proximate and dominant cause—for this, by reason of great transformation. That is, he can tell you all except the Any propagandist of the Left can tell you the causes of the epidemic. It was this which enabled the reactionaries of the lowing the armistice reached the proportions of an emotional date their position. It enabled them to identify social and po-Right to seize all the vantage points and steadily to consoliof the Right, of only partial and transient benefit. It is Leninreformer and reconstructionist with the enemies of society nationalization of railways with the nationalization of women tremist overturn; direct legislation with direct action; the litical criticism with sedition; the pettiest reform with the exexcept the fanatic and the sentimentalist can see and under that comes directly "home to men's business and bosoms." Al violation of the most primal rights of human beings, is an evi ism that put the game in their hands; for Leninism, with its Mere wartime sedition could have been, to the reactionaries stand what it threatens. Even the downmost man, embittered

## THE ARMISTICE AND AFTER

by privation, may regard it with scarcely less dread than does the comfortable bourgeois. Every gratulant utterance of the Socialist, radical and pseudo-Liberal press in behalf of this thing; every palliation or defense of Bolshevist tyranny, brigandage and persecution, served to increase the popular apprehension; and the Right, sharp-eyed and resourceful, has reaped the advantage.

vering, no measure of press control possible to them, could contributory causes. The muddling of the Wilson Administrasults to indicate a subsidence of this general feeling. Only by no man can ever read, there was at least nothing in the reelection of 1912 was specifically and aggressively against ests. The vast majority of the votes cast in the Presidential and the obstructors of democratic progress. The current of present position. The public in recent years had been anyno disastrous effect on the general situation. Bolshevism turned added something more. But all this might have happened with tion in a score of vital matters, by lowering the morale of the come so completely into its own. Without doubt there were generous aid from its opponents could capitalist reaction have them. Though the meaning of the election of 1916 is a puzzle legislation had long been driving against their aims and interthing but charitably disposed toward the seekers of privilege the reactionaries of the Right have come unaided to their tion; and the prolonged wrangle over the peace treaty had people and weakening its faith, had aided in the consumma-Through no power of their own, through no skill in maneu-

### PART FOUR

unionists, for the I. W. W. was exerting itself mightly to effect, the local public struck back and struck hard. There ganization became a nuisance, by reason of its "free-speech ist system, there was no panic. Here and there, when this or an unexplainable one, from the Lawrence strike in the early by 1910 it was flourishing. It had an added stimulus, though doctrine from the French Syndicalists, it began to revive, and in the third year of the I. W. W. (1907-8), due to imports of it had caused no great alarm. For two decades after the Haybreak up their organizations. But the great mass of citizens The party Socialists were alarmed, and so were the tradewas, however, no general feeling that society was in danger. fights" and its attempts to put its doctrine of sabotage into though it promised the overthrow of the state and the capitalthe doctrine that in a class war all means are justifiable and part of 1912. Yet though the I. W. W. constantly preached market affair what there was of it kept reasonably quiet. But REVOLUTIONISM had been at work before, but except in spots went their way serenely.

Even this brand of revolutionism had declined greatly in energy and noise by the time of the outbreak of the war. In the days between August 1, 1914, and April 6, 1917, though there was plenty of militant pro-Germanism, as well as plenty of the sort of militant pacifism that threatened all kinds of dire things in case America joined the Allies, there was little of what could rightly be called revolutionism. So, also, though sedition of a kind was common, there was little of real revolutionism during the seven months following. With the Bolshevik uprising in November—the overturn of a government of one set of Socialists by another set—the revolutionary revival

## THE ARMISTICE AND AFTER

began. Here at last was a group, professedly working-class, with an extreme program, that had seized the state and established the dictatorship of the proletariat. What had happened in Russia could also happen in the United States, and the hour for action had come. Not yet, however; for in the United States the war was still on, and the hand of the law fell heavily upon those whose revolutionism became too exuberant. For another year they must watch and wait.

partisanship. The Department of Justice, with fostering care, defense of Leninism, and they framed their news to fit their from the extremest to the most moderate, were united in their of December, 1918, the whole body of insurgent journals, organs took on rapidly deepening shades of red. By the first helped the movement along, and Bedlam was let loose to have to express the new ideas, and the pinkest of the established there was no lack in the supply. New papers were established Insurgency clamored for the wildest kind of propaganda, and which evolved, one after one, in a sequence of rapid mutations. Communists, super-Communists, and beyond-Communistsone another in their rush to the Left. New groups were formed contagion spread rapidly. Individuals and groups vied with first stages of an epidemic. Among insurgents generally the farcical enough in itself, but the event serves as a mark in the was organized four days before the armistice. It was a thing most of the later organized revolutionism traces its descent, -groups of Communists, Left Communists, extreme-Left The Communist Propaganda League of Chicago, from which

Primarily this movement was Communist—not of the studio or parlor kind, but the active kind, much given to organization, secession and reorganization and the framing and disseminating of revolutionary phrases. Its auxiliaries, however,

over to Bolshevism, though with qualifications. Striving by plied the use of armed force in behalf of the revolution, swung was always first to condemn any propaganda which even imship. The Socialist party, which in its un-Bolshevized days vik Russia and the justification of the proletarian dictatorcourse, also added to the fervent chorus of praise of Bolshelated revolutionary body in America, though keeping its own da. The Socialist Labor party, that most isolated and insuclass revolutions and maintained an equally ardent propagancutions of 1918, kept apart and went its own way, it acclaimed "Communist politicians" and somewhat subdued by the prosewere many and various. Though the I. W. W., scornful of points. Compelled either to modify its revolutionism or to stop through the laying down by Lenin of the famous 21 when most of these extremists seceded, it was brought to a full radical extremists and the moderates, it failed utterly; and "democracy" and "dictatorship," to hold to its ranks both the elastic formulas, and by new definitions of such words as the Bolshevist revolution and all other professedly working-Since then, under the formula of a happy compromise by after long deliberation and violent controversy, in favor of take part in illegal and revolutionary activities, it decided, though it continued this policy even after September, 1919, which it favors Bolshevism for Russia but rejects Bolshevism discretion, and was accordingly excommunicated by Moscow. for America, it has swung back somewhat toward its former

To these groups came many accessions from that varie-gated and heterogeneous element, the Super-Radicals, composed of utopians, visionaries, sentimentalists, semi-Socialists, pseudo-anarchists, "radical democrats," "radical intellectuals" (especially the more vocal and obtrusive variety known

# THE ARMISTICE AND AFTER

as "young")—in a word, zealots of the moment, some of them all of these things at once, and the others, though never anything very long, most intensely that thing while the ferment lasts. To these straining souls Bolshevism revealed itself as quite the most wonderful and thrilling event that had ever happened. They all helped to swell the chorus.

### PART FIVE

as an evil that cannot be helped and must be endured. Obshouting of revolutionary phrases by professional revolutionthat of 1919, than in normal times; one who knew the radical viously less of it is tolerated in a time of national stress, like repetition of these phrases by emotional flappers is also taken ists is commonly taken as a matter of course; and the ecstatic have failed of itself to arouse the reaction that followed. The YET all this, though awakening alarm and resentment, would by the so-called Liberal journals of opinion. In former days, an intolerable menace was the aid and incitement furnished years later. What brought this outburst to the proportions of and deeds done in that year which could not be repeated seven movement in 1912 will remember that words could be spoken and humanitarianism. The highbrow organs of these social always, and with no Jesuitical qualifications, for democracy Liberalism and Socialism, whatever else they advocated, stood the response from the insurgent element was immediate. Their more moderate of these journals flopped over to Bolshevism tributed to a desired end. When in the fall of 1918 even the pression, robbery and cruelty, so long as such means confaiths now came forward as the apologists for tyranny, re-

circulations rapidly increased. One of them, from a weekly issue of 11,000 (confined mostly to the universities) lifted itself in a short time to the 50,000 class. A rival journal did almost as well. The news stands in many places were piled high with copies, and there were no "returns." The new readers were, in the main, persons who had never before heard of these journals. The word had gone round that the authentic gospel of revolt was now to be found in these journals of the highest intellectual respectability.

it means what he means, and what his readers want it to mean one way; to the revolutionist editor who copies it in quantities general adaptability to the needs of revolutionism it has harstuff of the revolutionist editor, but in point of view and in these journals and the Bolshevism in the rough-stuff revolueral press, draw subtle distinctions between the Bolshevism in Those sophistical persons who, in defense of the pseudo-Libmonized admirably with the surrounding text. The readers of even more daringly and violently written, than the home-made been more pretentiously written, more sanctimoniously, or matter has always fitted well in its new setting. It may have the borrowing is now less copious. Nor can one deny that the them will still be so impressed, though from a number of causes the highbrow journals of the metropolis. One who still follows tionist papers, are sufficiently answered by the fact that sc the stuff on its original appearance may have understood it have been impressed with the number of columns credited to propaganda during the climax of this epidemic could not but most useful. One who followed the special organs of extremist reprinted, generally with appropriate credit, what they found they saw it, drew heavily on the new sources for copy, and itors of the rough-stuff papers, knowing a good thing when The insurgent boobery bit, and bit hard. Moreover, the ed-

# THE ARMISTICE AND AFTER

much of the material did, and still does, duty for both. Highbrow or lowbrow, far or near, the stuff is in substance of a kind. Its effect on the cloistered professor or on the naive seeker of "culture" may be different from its effect on the perspicacious "sab cat" or on his ecstatic ally, the parlor Bolshevist. But it differs little in essentials.

justification of discredited means for the greater glory of a swingback of the professed exponents of Truth, Justice, Huary phrase; and the revolutionary phrase attains its greatest who can best express it and who are thus enabled to set the Jesuitry, it is the nimbler wits of the Left-Liberal intellectuals cause. Though all sections of radical insurgency join in this phrase-making, to double speech and double dealing, to the manitarianism—this reversion to Jesuitry, to tortuosity in must turn for the most skilfully framed excuses and defenses and cruelty is best cultivated by the Left-Liberal intellecvantage in the development and reiteration of the revolutionfashion. The Communists employ their talents to best adciety of Jesus did or did not hold doctrine that gives just waras I find them. Whether or not the great teachers of the Sosuch a one respects or reveres, I reply that I take the words words "Jesuit" and "Jesuitry" as terms opprobrious to what supernatural faith. Should anyone quarrel with my use of the far outdo anything charged against the fanatic priests of a they have shown that the zealot priests of a secular cult can of the Bolshevist régime. These are the social Jesuits; and tuals; and it is to these that the outspoken revolutionaries Great Day. The art of apologetics for tyranny, repression tionist) and in proclaiming exactly what is to happen on the triumph in denouncing the capitalist (or the rival revolurant for the usage is a matter into which I cannot enter. The Thus to the other phases of the reaction was added this

controversy is voluminous and of long standing, and my readings in it have been inadequate. I take the words as I find them; and those who have a quarrel to pick must carry that quarrel back of me to the generations of men who have fixed this usage.

no one caring for his reputation would have disputed it. among civilized men; and up to the middle of the year 1914 heights. It came, in recent times, to a universal acceptance reason of its acceptance mankind had ever advanced to new and sects of fanatics, religious and secular, who have conthrough the long generations. In every age have arisen groups and from these the new concept spread to widening circles Doubtless, for his discovery, he was soon dispatched; but beif done by himself, was, in a sense, the founder of civilization complete a reversion from that of the times preceding. That tested this truth. But in spite of them it won its way, and by fore yielding up the ghost he was able to convince some others. the act deemed evil when done by another would also be evi remote savage in whose brain first dawned the thought that but there has been no instance wherein the practise was so equal or greater assiduity to the justification of evil means: likely there have been times when men gave themselves with never before in the world was there such a regression. Very vasive, more degenerative thing, a thing harder to fight. And hended, and met and fought. But this is a subtler, more peraggression on the one hand and revolutionary violence on trous and the most hopeless of cure. The others-capitalist the other hand—are objective realities, which can be appre-This is the darkest phase of the reaction, the most disas-

Then came the great débâcle. The tearing up of a "scrap of paper," the invasion of a neutral nation, the necessity of "hacking one's way through," and the frightful atrocities

# THE ARMISTICE AND AFTER

that followed, gave Jesuitry a new life; and in that renascence it was brought to these shores, where it flourished. We had pro-Germans and militant pacifists, who, in the need of defending their own attitudes, found increasing use for it; and then came Bolshevism, and the epidemic which followed. And so we have had a growing necessity for its employment and its development to cover up, in the name of liberty, democracy and justice, a multitude of savage acts.

There are still a few men among the social extremists who speak the well-established truth in the old words with the old meanings. Unhappily there are none of them in America. The most eminent of these men is Romain Rolland. Though a Communist, he has not forgotten the standards of civilization and the lessons of history. Asked his attitude toward life, he heplies: "With the proletariat every time it respects truth and humanity. Against the proletariat every time it violates truth and humanity." Most pertinent to the matter in hand is his treatment of the relation of means to ends in the following recent statement:

"It was in this spirit that I wrote in 'Clerambault' (and I hold to the opinion now more than ever): 'It is not true that the end justifies the means. The means are still far more important to the true progress of humanity than the ends.' And this is due to the fact that the end (so rarely and always so incompletely attained) modifies only the external relations among men, whereas the means shape the mind of man either according to the rhythm of justice or according to the rhythm of government will ever be able to prevent the oppression of the weak by the strong. That is why I regard it as essential to defend them moral values, and to defend them even more, perhaps, in a revolution than in ordinary times. For revolutions

are the eras of moment, the times when the mind of peoples is most likely to change." 1

selves proletarians, and carrying on in the name of social juspower but by a group of fanatic intellectuals, calling themdergo a complete alteration. tice. The nature of the justification of evil means had to unatrocities against humankind, not committed by an imperial equipment in the technique of Jesuitry. Now came a new set of justification of German atrocities called for only a moderate spires. The flood of evil propaganda, the work of the near-November, 1917, and a wider range of application. But the German land and naval forces found new employment after the German Government and the atrocities committed by the developed during the war in justifying the faith-breaking of Left allies of the radical extremists, continues. The artifice derstanding of some of our domestic heralds of the New Day. printed in America, have sunk into the conscience or the un To this time, however, no evidence of any such effect tran-It is possible that these utterances, which have been re-

When the first accounts of Bolshevik outrages began to ap-

1"In Tyrannos": A Reply to Henri Barbusse. The Nation, February 8, 1922. In this connection I quote a passage published by me twelve years ago ("Socialism and Success," 1910), which then represented, I prefer to believe, the convictions of the leading exponents of Socialism in America: "After all, we cannot be sure about our goals—about the ends for which we strive. Every end for which man has striven has been found, when achieved or partly achieved, a disappointment. Every political or social or religious cause, from the triumph of which they have expected so much, has been found in victory to be less than the thing imagined. Often it has been found to be the opposite of what men desired. Socialism itself will prove a disappointment to its devotees. But every advancement of ethical standards has been a permanent gain. Every moralization of the means which men employ in their contests—whether in war, or politics or religion—has lifted up the race. . . Let us with hight and main strive for the ideal which possesses us; but let us do it with a willingness to suffer an endless chain of defeats rather than compromise the means which we employ—knowing that the sanctioning of fanaticism or the condonation of Jesuitry invariably reacts upon our cause."

all that has appeared in the insurgent press purporting to be and dependable information printed regarding Soviet Russia searched for them knows that the contrary is the reality. If so open-minded person who has really wanted the facts and has capitalist press has been suppressing and distorting it. Every nunciation of the capitalist press. And hence has arisen the campaign one note has never been absent. That is the deopinion show Jesuitry still at the game. Throughout all this established principles. The practice has continued to the and the building up of new interpretations of old terms and creased, so also came the need of more outspoken justification it was timidly apologetic. But as the flood of testimony inhas appeared in the capitalist press; while that nine-tenths of be justified in saying that nine-tenths of all the worth-while complex a matter could be expressed statistically, one would the insurgent press has been printing the truth, while the tion of the American people—that in all this Russian business hoax—the most absurd hoax ever perpetrated upon any secpresent time; the latest issues of the leading journals of the official Bolshevik newspapers, a new mode began. At first, further authentication from refugees and travellers and from and the facts, for the most part, came to be ignored. With ist press and by denials. With the repeated authentication of these accounts by Socialist testimony, denials became fewer, pear, they were met, as a rule, by denunciations of the capital. volume. has been nothing more than Jesuitical apologetics. But all fact has been fiction, and that all not purporting to be fact that is another matter, with which I mean to deal in another

#### PART SIX

This campaign has been waged intensively and continuously. Its evil effects could from the beginning be seen and measured by any one who took the trouble to look carefully at what was happening about him. The extremists everywhere were emboldened to excesses of speech and action which otherwise they would not have dared; and so rose to an extreme pitch the reaction against them. "Very well," said the hundred-percenters, the crusaders of the Right, "if this is what you mean by Liberalism, radicalism and Socialism, we will show you what we mean by Americanism." And then came fierce and cruel reprisals, violations of Americanism, of democracy, of civilization itself. One reaction toward the dark ages was met by another and a mightier one. And then the exploiters of mankind, the seekers of privilege, ever alert for an advantage, took occasion of the turbulence to regain what they had lost.

"Tis an old lesson; Time approves it true." Unfortunately, it is a lesson that evidently must be relearned every few years. None knew it better than the party Socialists; but they chose to forget it when most they needed to keep it in mind. Revolutionism had again shown that it always brings to the top the Reaction of the Right, and sets back the cause of social justice."

### CHAPTER II

### THE SOCIALIST PARTY

#### PART ONE

MERICAN Socialism as a constructive force touched its highest point in the national convention of 1912 at Indianapolis. That convention was fronted by a party crisis. The cult of "direct" action, sponsored by the I. W. W., had won many proselytes; and the term had come again to mean what it had meant forty years before, in the days of Bakunin. In its extreme form it meant, negatively, contempt for government and abstention from political effort; and positively, reliance upon conspiracy, sabotage and destruction. In its milder forms it meant anything the individual chose to read into it. A number of professed Socialists newly caught with the contagion had succeeded in getting themselves elected as delegates, and they made themselves felt and heard in the convention.

This noisy and aggressive minority was treated by the majority with small patience and scant courtesy. It was, in the dominant view, a minority of heretics and rebels, sinning against the faith and giving aid and comfort to the enemy. All authoritative propaganda of the time emphasized the constructive, the ethical, the humanitarian aspect of Socialism. The platforms, the official leaflets, the books and other writings of leading Socialists were, in the main, consistent with one another. Had any anti-Socialist of the period of 1906-14 pictured a Lenin-Trotzky usurpation as a possible Socialist method or a Lenin-Trotzky régime as a Socialist ideal, he

<sup>1</sup> The effects of revolutionism on the modern working-class movement since its beginning are related in detail in Robert Hunter's masterly work, "Violence and the Labor Movement." A close perusal of the volume might possibly benefit some of the fiery apostles of this creed, as well as some of their Jesutical apologists. The Bourbons of the Left, however, though they forget easily, seem to learn—if at all—only by the most painful experience.

THE SOCIALIST PARTY

democracy; everybody—at least every adult—must have a alism. Finally, and fundamental to all else, Socialism meant claims of national allegiance; it could never mean anti-nationfederation of free peoples, with ample allowances for the For the world at large, Socialism meant internationalism, the were, consciously or unconsciously, mere tools of reaction. orthodox than that the extremist and his sentimental adulator Super-Radical; and no dictum was oftener on the lips of the revolutionary extremist and his dilettante worshipper, the was the proletarian. The chief anathema was reserved for the quite as much a slave (though a lucky one) to the system as days the capitalist was not necessarily a bad man; he was scribed in terms not overly terrifying to the timid. In those voice and vote in the conduct of affairs. Without democracy there could be no Socialism. Even the class war, though emphasized as a fact, was de-

If sometimes the phrase "dictatorship of the proletariat"

was heard, it was explained in platonic terms, or else, on the authority of the great Wilhelm Liebknecht, flatly repudiated. "The political power," he wrote in his widely circulated pamphlet, "No Compromise—No Political Trading," "which the Social Democracy aims at and which it will win, no matter what its enemies may do, has not for its object the establishment of a dictatorship of the proletariat, but the suppression of the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie." Minority rule was denounced. The doctrine of the mastery of one class by another, even should the ruling class be a majority, was disavowed; and did any one arise to charge such a purpose or to predict such an outcome, the heckler was silenced by another authoritative statement from Liebknecht:

"The clear statement of our party program stamps as a slander the assertion of our opponents that Socialism will secure the ruling power in the state for the laboring class. We have already said that the idea of mastery is above all undemocratic and consequently in opposition to the principles of Socialism."

The ideal of the displacement of one class by another was freely acknowledged to be no better than the ideal of a mediæval peasants' revolt. Only as the working class identified its interests with the common interests of all humanity was it justified in striving for the overthrow of the capitalist class and the installation of a new order. In brief, the authoritative Socialism of the pre-war period sought to place itself in accord with the highest ethical concepts of the time.

This was the picture presented; and though a few ribald extremists hung about the fringes of the crowd and jeered at it, and though most of the illuminati of the coteries superciliously rejected it as a thing quite too tame for souls of the

guise, and it had brought to its support a hitherto unknown to rest. This re-embodied thing had now come forth in a new thundered and which supposedly had been vanquished and laid sons of every degree of gradation from propagandists of the were outright "direct" actionists. The faction included perally known as "parlor Bolshevists." To the Roaring Jims and impossibilists" and "pink-tea revolutionists," though now usuthe element somewhat derisively referred to as "sentimental year and was now hysterically making itself known. This was as a result of the Lawrence strike in the early part of the element in social radicalism—an element that had sprung up its core, a reincarnation of the old foe against which Marx had deed to mere emotional flappers. The faction was, however, at front. Of course not all its members—perhaps not even half and Reginalds of the metropolitan coteries. Wild Bills of the hinterland had been allied the Esmeraldas At the convention the Left displayed a fairly well organized

This alliance of "fanatic roughneck and sentimental softhead" in the propagation of a resuscitated heresy was looked upon by the guardians of the Socialist faith as a grave menace. The movement, unless checked, would overturn and ruin all that had been built up in forty years of hard and courageous work. The issue was squarely met. The committee on constitution brought in a new rule (the famous Article II., section 6), expelling from the party any one who "advocates crime, sabotage or other methods of violence as a weapon of the working-class to aid in its emancipation."

Around this proposal the forensic battle raged for hours. Against it were those who believed in sabotage and were quite

## THE SOCIALIST PARTY

willing (except for their fear of the police) to employ it; those who believed in it, but were satisfied to leave its advocacy and its practice to others, and those who, with no particular inclination toward it, were unwilling to offend its supporters. Most of the arguments made by the speakers of this wing were obviously insincere. The spokesmen of the Left would not frankly justify sabotage, but they wanted the doors for its advocacy left wide open. The word might mean anything, they said; and the adoption of the proposal would penalize the most innocent methods. They exhausted their ingenuity in quibbling terms and evasive pleas.

of a fondness for these matters?... I fear that our self-styled exactly." "Is it pure accident," asked one of the majority, let us, who represent a new and constructive force, take our sires to stain its hands with fraud and to practise violence, there is in our civilization; . . . and if the capitalist class deworking class," said Mr. Dobbs, "is entitled to the best that Missouri—the ethical note was strongly emphasized. "The ticularly those of Delegates Charles Dobbs, of Kentucky; tics" was not forgotten; but in most of the speeches-parder. Unquestionably the note of expediency was heard; "poliplaced the party on the side of evolutionism, legalism and ortheir convictions." The speakers of the constructive element revolutionary comrades haven't always got the courage of relevant happen to be the same who may perhaps be suspected "that all these comrades who think the word 'sabotage' ir-"We mean just what you mean, and we know your meaning extremists, "What do you mean by sabotage?" they replied: stand in favor of order as against chaos." Winfield R. Gaylord, of Wisconsin, and W. L. Garver, of ty wing took full advantage. To the taunting question of the Of this patent disingenuousness the speakers of the majori-

The convention agreed with him; and by a vote of 191 to 90, amid tense excitement, formally condemned the reactionaries of the Left. A referendum to the membership confirmed the verdict by a vote of 13,215 to 4,196, and in the following February, by a vote of 22,495 to 10,944, William D. Haywood, who had refused to accept the verdict, was recalled from the National Executive Committee.

### PART TWO

eral stability on matters of purely Socialist belief and pracof the party elements, because of its numbers and of its genoutcome, under the circumstances, inevitable. Most important schooled themselves into believing that they believed, suddennationality; and the fine phrases that men had so carefully shock of that terrible event awoke the passions of race and the beginning of another and a more serious reaction. The main subservient to German influence, particularly the numerable. Next in importance were the other alien elements, in the conquered by the intensive propaganda of Germanism, and earlier, regarded with bitter hatred everything connected with members had been refugees from persecution, had, a decade almost immediately as pro-German. Its composition made this ly became hollow and meaningless. The party revealed itself With the outbreak of the World War in August, 1914, came ous Yiddish group, who speak a German dialect, and whose its response to the clash of arms was immediate and unmistakthe Kaiser's régime; but it had been gradually and insensibly tice, was the German group. This group, many of whose older

## THE SOCIALIST PARTY

culture, whatever its degree, is predominantly German. The Irish, of course, were predominantly anti-English and therefore potentially pro-German.

secessions from the party and have become Communists. For still others who, fanatical and perverse, for a while stayed on Socialism oozing out, and these also withdrew; and there were others, no less sincere, who at the call of patriotism found their supported the cause of the Allies and favored American pargroup; and here any attempt at generalization fails There souls, hungry for the ultimate word and gesture in revoluits earlier policies, it could never quite satisfy these ardent to whatever lengths the party might go in its reaction from have from time to time staged the series of dramatic group becoming, with the shift of events, ever more impossibilist and the party or openly denounced it and resigned; there were ticipation in the war, and who gradually slipped away from were some who, while holding fast to Socialist principles. tionary extremism. revolutionary. It is these who, with some alien auxiliaries, Rather a heterogeneous assortment was the American

It needs no modern Oxenstierna to observe with what little logic and consistency and principle men's minds (that is, some men's) are governed. In spite of all the lofty declarations about pacifism, neutrality, internationalism, democracy and civilization, came an outburst of racialism that brought the party in effect to the support of German military aggression and kept it there till the armistice. Coincident was a swingback toward the tactics of the extremists, whom two years before it had banned. That swingback became more pronounced after April, 1917, when the party, pledging itself to oppose, by all the means within its power, the prosecution of the war, virtually placed itself outside the law. It was now further

shown by the repeal of the anti-sabotage clause. It became still more pronounced after November of that year, when the Bolshevist usurpation revealed an easier way to victory than that which the party had so often and so emphatically approved; and it was not sensibly checked until the winter of 1920-21, when, repudiated alike by Lenin and by the extremists at home, the party was brought up with a round turn and compelled to reorient itself.

ican party. In other times this party had been loudly articu-Serbia, Rumania, and Armenia wrung from it not even a but in other lands; yet the unparalleled atrocities in Belgium. late regarding atrocities, real or imagined, not only at home German party majority, it was wholly ignored by the Amerthe German party minority, and even some portion of the the working class in many of the neutral countries and from the most callous heart. But though it brought a response from made public Jan. 7, 1917, might have been expected to move the Belgian working class to the working class of America, men, women and children. The pathetic and desperate plea of made their living, or against the wholesale deportations of ing stock and raw material by which the Belgian workers seizure and transportation to Germany of the machinery, rollupon the Belgian people by the German army, or against the of neutral Belgium, or against the frightful exactions levied At no time did it utter a word of protest against the invasion internationalism) on the side of the German Foreign Office ranged itself (though of course in the name of neutrality and Almost from the beginning of the World War the party

No German violation of international law awakened its indignation; indeed, where in any case it spoke—officially or through its leaders—it more or less openly justified such

### THE SOCIALIST PARTY

violations as seemed to aid the German cause. It declined to commit itself against air raids on undefended towns or submarine attacks on passenger vessels. The slaughter of women and children moved it to no concern whatever. Though the "political representative of the working class," it ignored the murder of civilian workmen. Even if it had no interest in the fate of well-to-do persons such as those who could afford to voyage on the Lusitania, it might still have been expected to show some measure of concern for the fate of sailors, hostlers, cattlemen and other indubitable members of the working class torpedoed on the seas. The German party minority (the Liebknecht-Ledebour element) vigorously protested against all these savage cruelties, but the American party uttered no word of condemnation.

gression, and thus gave its sanction to German preparedness and conspirators, and it ridiculed the efforts of the American in this country by the German Government of a body of spies United States. Though it had no word to say against the Gerthe Central Powers and opposed the defensive measures of the should vote for any measure of preparedness; and in voting 11,041 to 742, the expulsion of any Socialist official who tude further when it decreed, in December, 1915, by a vote of while obstructing preparedness at home. It carried this attiour own Government to prepare itself against German agnounced the sale of munitions to the Allies and the efforts of Government to apprehend them. In the same breath it deleaders and its party press it made light of the employment the United States into the conflict was a crime against human declaration of war, it officially declared that the entry of Austrian and Bulgarian aliens who favored the militarism of this decree it included the ballots of Russian Jewish, German, Its censure was reserved for things at home. Through its

manity and that "in all modern history there has been no war more unjustifiable." 1

### PART THREE

ocratic Party. The Russian writer most favorably known in mentors execrated. The American Socialist party had been Plechanoff. It was Plechanoff and Paul Axelrod and Vladimir America as an exponent of Socialist doctrine was George agreed with that of the minority of the Russian Social Demo-November revolution would have been denounced and its fohad the support of the American Socialist party and that the certain than that it was the Kerensky régime that would have Soon after the Bolshevist usurpation virtually the whole of have been taken as to what was happening in Russia. But Gerviews, all bitter opponents of the Bolsheviki, whose word would Bourtseff, and the scores of others less well known, of like Menshevik. Its interpretation of Socialist principles had taken place in a time of world peace, nothing can be more been no World War, had the March revolution in Russia the Socialist party became strongly pro-Bolshevist. Had there

included this infamous declaration, seems to argue, in his book, "The Larger Socialism" (pp. 140-41), that it was the war already being waged, and not America's participation therein, which was meant as the object of this particular denunciation. The language of this section, he says, is obscure, and he expresses what seems to be astonishment (for his own meaning is anything but clear) that so many persons have failed in a proper interpretation of the passage. It is enough to say that the whole context of the resolution supports the accepted interpretation, and that the sentence immediately preceding the passage in question, "We brand the declaration of war by our Government as a crime against the people of the United States and against the nations of the world," reveals the intent and meaning of the framers of the resolution beyond cavil.

## THE SOCIALIST PARTY

manism, followed by a drift toward impossibilism, had done its work; and Bolshevism, because it promised peace (which could not then have been else than a German peace) was acclaimed. Whatever the Bolshevist régime did, no matter how flatly it contravened what had before been regarded as Socialism, was now justified, and the most pointed and responsible testimony against the Bolsheviki was disregarded. After the earlier days the Socialist organs as a rule suppressed all testimony unfavorable to the new régime, keeping up at the same time, along with its "Liberal" and radical colleagues, a tirade against the capitalist press for its alleged unfairness.

stock terms, never out of use. There was "democracy," for ernment of force, terrorism and loot, also made necessary new and could not be, any such thing as democracy. Only in a mean before the war. In a bourgeois republic there was not, something very different from what it had been supposed to instance. It was now revealed that the word really meant definitions of words and phrases which formerly had been but for such of the dwellers in that land as were well disposed land. True, what was found there was a "limited democracy," Socialist republic could it exist. Soviet Russia was such a opposition, and they, too, would find freedom. The "dictatortainable in other lands wherein people went through the empty toward the régime there was a range of freedom quite unatas a means of "crushing out opposition," but would in time came to think it over. It was necessary, during the transition, ship of the proletariat" was not such a bad thing when you they had to do was to change their minds, to give up their the ill disposed, the opponents of the party in power-all farce of voting for laws and for officials. As for the othersbe given over. Of course, if the rascally bourgeoisie and other Conversion to Bolshevism, and the duty of defending a gov-

now discovered to be no difference at all. The two things were various countries." lay only "in tactics influenced by varying conditions in the really the same; they did not conflict; the apparent difference cialism, once so important a matter in Socialist exegetics, was Soviet Russia. The difference between Communism and Sospeech, free assemblage, and a free press. It was an odious thing in a bourgeois republic, but a most virtuous thing in Then, too, there was the matter of the repression of free there was every hope that the antagonism would soon subside. firing squad—those most persuasive agents of democracy tinue indefinitely; but thanks to the secret tribunal and the position to the régime, the dictatorship would have to concounter-revolutionists, including Socialists, kept up their op-

on a seeming of mildness, candor and legality. Now, in the teric, one thing for the initiated and another for the outsider supremacy of an oligarchy of bandits. Moreover, according to face of a new set of circumstances, a new attitude was taken. —and its propaganda was most to be distrusted when it took this view, I. W. W.-ism had a dual doctrine-esoteric and exolabor, the violation of majority rights, an effort toward the warfare against the state, internal conflicts in the ranks of physical-force anarchism; it meant conspiracy, destruction, according to the then prevalent view, was an expression of ism that was excusable, or even tolerable. Real I. W. W.-ism, A few years earlier there had been nothing in all this extreming committees the evidences of this change are thickly sown. dresses, editorials and testimony before courts and investigatand other extremist propaganda and tactics. In public adthe Socialist leaders crept a growing extenuation for I. W. W. Also, as the war went on, into the speech and writings of

Under the pressure of the time the quondam legalists and

# THE SOCIALIST PARTY

had now his turn to look on and jeer. ways, or any one of several ways. The oft-execrated I. W. W thing seemly and of good import. You could have it both public, the meaning of this phrase was translated into someat the same time, for the benefit of the moderates and of the practice of which the revolutionary phrase flourished, while be held together. A doctrinal dualism arose, within the Both elements must be conciliated if the organization was to composed of extremists, who wanted something very different (of a sort) who wanted one kind of thing, and there was a Left, shaped body, but there was a Centre, composed of moderates the party new problems. There was no Right in this oddly The epidemic of revolutionism following the armistice brought more lenient attitude regarding Bolshevist imitators at home. vist usurpation and rule of terror came the necessity for a were common. Moreover, with the justification of the Bolshewere braving a common danger, and for a time their interests the perennial illegalists drew somewhat closer together. They

ic direct action will yet triumph over the direct, brutal, physan issue of New Solidarity had exclaimed; "peaceable, economthey themselves say so? "Organize, organize!" an editorial in I. W. W.s might sometimes do what seemed to belie the fact, and of the hope for universal brotherhood. Even though these misguided expressions of the thirst after social righteousness things did actually happen, they were merely unfortunate and bodies, had turned out to be exaggerations; or if some of the constant efforts toward the disruption of rival working-class crops, the driving of twenty-penny spikes into sawlogs, the ical violence of the capitalist class!" And an authoritative peace and benevolence were in their hearts and minds. Did not those dreadful stories about barn-burning, the destruction of He was not such a bad fellow, after all, this I. W. W. All

### PART FOUR

Ir cannot be said that this policy made measurable headway with the extremists either inside or outside the fold. Where was the joy of the revolutionary phrase or the revolutionary deed if all its heroic meaning was thus to be explained away? The more they thought about it the more infuriated they became. They assailed the party leaders as "yellows,"

1 "The Intellectuals and the Wage-Workers," by Herbert Ellsworth Cory, 1919 (p. 211).

### THE SOCIALIST PARTY

"Centrists" and "opportunists," and those inside began preparations to join those outside and to take the party with them. Their campaign is treated in the following chapter and can be but incidentally mentioned here. At the Emergency Convention of September, 1919, they split the party into three factions.

prevailed. The convention, though approving the Soviet Govand noisy of the extremists. But the revolutionary spirit still carminated, the party was now freed from the more violent made in January, 1920, showed a majority (3,475 to 1,444) attitude was opposed by the Left element still remaining, who ernment, had declined to apply for affiliation with the Third claring for the Moscow International. The announcement, caused the submission to the membership of a referendum detional and asked for the convocation of a new congress. This International. Instead it condemned the old Second Internaa resolution, almost unanimously, which, first, asked the rebels vention in New York City in the following summer it passed had hopes of not being altogether cast out and repudiated by part of its dues-paying membership (which had fallen from for the Left. The party was rueful over the loss of so large a regarding Russia and revolutionism in general which it was combatting the forces of reaction. It also adopted a policy and fourth, proposed the creation of a national advisory cated the taking of steps, after the campaign, for a reunion committees between the loyalists and the rebels; third, advoto return; second, proposed the creation of joint campaign Moscow, and it spoke fair words to the seceders. At its conthe high-water mark of 120,000 to less than 40,000); it still hoped would placate all the remaining elements. It formally council composed of "all working-class organizations" for Though still retaining a considerable number of the deeply

THE SOCIALIST PARTY

expressed itself in favor of Bolshevism in Russia; in favor of the dictatorship of a minority (admitted by one of the leading speakers to be outnumbered 20 to 1); in favor of the Third International, though protesting against the imposition of its phraseology and methods upon Socialist movements in other countries; in favor also of the giving of active aid and support to the existing Bolshevist régime. "It should be the task of the Socialist International," read the resolution on international relations, "to aid our comrades in Russia to maintain and fortify their political control."

But however strongly it favored Bolshevism, with its minority dictatorship, its "seizure and holding of power," and its "crushing out of opposition," in Russia, a different note was sounded for the United States. For home use none of these things was wanted. Here the party sought "the end of restoring political democracy and bringing about complete industrial freedom." One of the delegates, it is true, denounced Bolshevism and advocated withdrawal from the Third International, a body "neither Socialist nor international," but he had only a small following. The convention was overwhelmingly for usurpation, dictatorship, and force in Russia, and for democracy and persuasion in America.

There were not lacking those who asked: Why the discrimination? For, if minority dictatorship is right in Russia, would it not be equally right here at home? If it is the duty of a Socialist International to aid the Russian minority which has already seized power, would it not be an equal duty of such a body to aid an American minority to a like goal? If the conscription of labor and the suppression of speech, press, and assemblage by an oligarchy in the sacred name of the proletariat are blessings in Russia, would they not be equal blessings in the United States? That Socialism would

take on somewhat different forms in the various countries, according to material and psychical conditions, had always been admitted by its leading opponents; but not before had it been declared that Socialism accepts with equal favor the most diametrical opposites of principle and method.

Nevertheless, to the leaders the discrimination seemed a shrewd and expedient one to make. It ought to satisfy moderates and extremists alike and win general support at the polls. From Moscow, however, came the cruel and ungrateful repudiation of the party and the demand for the expulsion of its most prominent leader; while the November elections proved a disaster.

#### PART FIVE

From all that had been said between Armistice Day and its second anniversary about the height, breadth and velocity of the "wave of unrest" that was asserted to be sweeping the country, one might naturally have expected some revolutionary result at the polls. The Socialist party, in particular, should have made enormous gains. The people were waking up, it was said; they were growing distrustful of the government, in fact distrustful of all bourgeois governments; they were enthusiastic for Bolshevik Russia and eager for a revolutionary change at home. The regular parties made their appeals, and two new parties, the Farmer Labor and the Single Tax, came into the contest. In due time came the Presidential election. To the more sanguine among the insurgents, even up to the beginning of the third week thereafter, it appeared that notable results had been scored. The Socialist summary, sent

out on election night by the party's national secretary, was the following:

"Early returns indicate vote up to expectations. Debs ahead of Cox in many precincts. Early scattered returns indicate a vote of from 2,500,000 to 3,000,000."

It was glorious news, officially stamped, and naturally the New York Call, the Milwaukee Leader and the Appeal to Reason relayed the information to their readers. "Socialists Poll over 2,000,000 Votes," screamed the Appeal of Nov. 13th, in a page-wide heading. The lesser Socialist press copied more or less faithfully, and not until after the middle of December was there any considerable modification in the statement of results.

A greater caution possessed the press of the Farmer Labor party. But The Nation, The New Republic and The Freeman were sure that something important had happened. Before election The Nation had urged its readers to vote for either Debs or Christensen, or even Macauley, the Single Taxer. The New Republic, while not rejecting the moral value of a vote for Debs, had made definite choice of Christensen. The Freeman, which looks upon all political action as mere foolishness, expressed no choice; but a glimmer here and there through its pages indicated that it might regard a large protest vote as a not undesirable thing. After election The New Republic showed, in its first mood, something less than exultation, it is true, but a fair degree of satisfaction. On November 17th it had this:

"Vague estimates give them [the Farmer Laborites] from half to three quarters of a million. Returns still incomplete put the Socialist vote somewhere between a million and a half and two million....[The Non-Partisan League] announces a total of over three million votes in nine States."

The Nation of November 17th, though reporting a huge Socialist vote, revealed some disappointment. "The Farmer Labor party," it admitted, "made no very remarkable showing at the polls." The Socialists, it said, did better, but not what they should have done. "Debs polled... twice the Socialist high-water mark of 1912," it continued, "but the total, some 1,800,000, is much less than Socialists and non-Socialist protestants had hoped for."

The Freeman, for all its disdain of political action, was more generous in its estimate of the vote for Debs; more gladdened, too; and it took pains to point out the vote's significance. It said, November 17th:

"The size of his vote is not important. We do not, in fact, know how many votes he got—probably about two million. The important and significant thing, however, the thing that will mightily impress the historian who comes after us and lives in calmer times, is that a political party had selected as its candidate, and an immense number of people saw fit to vote for, a prisoner in a Federal penitentiary, serving sentence for sedition."

So the size of the vote, which was both important and unimportant, was "about 2,000,000." Others for a time also kept up the pleasing fiction.

None of these computations was within measurable distance of the truth. Neither the Farmer Labor party nor the Non-Partisan League polled anything like the number of votes with which it was credited. The Socialists did not poll "between two and a half and three million votes," nor "two million," nor "1,800,000," nor "between one and a half and two million." Neither did they poll "twice the Socialist highwater mark of 1912." The total insurgent vote, in the official returns, dwindled to painfully small dimensions, and the

The total vote was 26,759,708, as against 18,537,514 in 1916 and 15,052,507 in 1912. The Socialist party total was 914,869, or 3.42 per cent. In 1912 it had been 901,062 (usually wrongly given as 897,011), a percentage of 5.99. There was thus a relative loss in eight years of 42.9 per cent. To have equalled the vote of 1912 the party would have had to poll a total of 1,602,906. It would then have done no more than to "break even," as the saying is; and a party that intends to take over the political and industrial control of the nation and can do no more, after eight years of organization and propaganda, than to hold its own, is evidently headed for the abyss.<sup>1</sup>

The Farmer Labor vote was 264,727, or a trifle less than 1 per cent. Together these two parties polled, not the "three or four millions" predicted before election, not even the "two or three millions" over and over again claimed after the election, but 1,179,596 votes. The percentage is 4.41, a relative loss of 26.3 per cent from the vote of the Socialist party alone

1 The remarkable record, in the face of so many obstacles, made by the Socialist party in the election of 1912, is not generally recognized. The party had first to deal with a seditious and mutimous element in the convention, and a part of the defeated element unquestionably sabotaged the work carried on during the following campaign. No sooner was the campaign started than the party had to face about and put down—by force of arms, so to speak—a vicious revolt engineered by Haywood and his lieutenants over a trivial question of campaign management. When again it set tiself to its tasks it found itself faced by a new party, the Progressives, headed by the most striking personality in American politics, standing on a platform containing nineteen planks lifted almost word for word from the Socialist list of "immediate demands." A number of prominent near-Socialists, who had usually acted with the party and voted its ticket, went over to Rosevelt, and the fear was general that many of the rank and file would follow. The vote polled, which was within a fraction of 6 per cent of the total, as against 2.33 per cent in the election of 1908, is an impressive register of the strength of Socialist sentiment in that year. Had there been no Progressive party, the Socialist vote might easily have been doubled.

in 1912. The vote of the Non-Partisan League, which was cast for various tickets, is not separately computable.

Technically, this was the insurgent protest vote, the registration of the "wave of unrest." There was also a Prohibition party total of 187,470, but this had nothing to do with industrial questions or theories of the state. There was also a Single Tax total of 5,747, but the nature of this vote is too problematical and its size too inconsiderable for discussion. Finally, there was also a Socialist Labor party vote of 30,363. This vote may or may not be, according to individual opinion, a factor in the expression of protest. At any rate, it represented exactly 19 fewer citizens than those who cast their ballots for the Socialist Labor party eight years earlier. The insurgent protest vote, in proportion to the total electorate, had thus declined in eight years by more than 26 per cent.

sensions," but more about "malicious government persecuvote the insurgent tickets. One or the other, the prediction or and enormously increasing the number of persons who would all the alleged persecution was merely arousing resentment typed Socialist and other insurgent pre-election formula that have been true, but in any case it squared ill with the stereodestroyed local organizations." This statement could hardly ties of capitalism and the 100 per centers had completely tion." In "thousands of cities and towns," it said, "the parlacking. The Call had something to say about "internal distain," ran the charge, "that there is a wider discrepancy befrom "gross neglect and fraud" at the ballot boxes. "It is certher explanations. It asserted that the party suffered greatly the explanation, was grossly at fault. But The Call had furthan in any other national election." Except as to a few more tween the Socialist vote cast this year and the vote reported Explanations and excuses for the poor results were not

also among the higher-ups in Moscow, equally well among such sources. Insurgent propaganda went very well among the and by its hypocritical defense of the Red Terror in Russia advocacy of a militant pacifism which revealed itself, at a critmanifested itself mainly in defaming one's own country; its tige by reason of its advocacy of an internationalism which part of it exemplified by organized Socialism, had lost presgroups were not attractive. Insurgency, and especially that much they needed mending, the proposals offered by these had decided that however bad things may be, and however among the people generally in the United States. The people some of the denizens of Greenwich Village, but it went ill partisans of the lost cause of the central empires, very well the point. The real explanations were unlikely to come from with other explanations, though none of them were much to to give over the game to conservative reaction. assemblage in America. Insurgency had so played its cards as while clamoring for justice, free speech, free press and free ical time, as an ally of German imperialism and frightfulness: The higher browed exponents of insurgency came forth

#### PART SIX

From December of that year (1920) dates a further swing of the party to the Right. In official pronouncements, editorials in the press and expressions of influential members a new note was sounded. The Bolshevist seizure of power in November, 1917, once the most glorious event in the world's history.

## THE SOCIALIST PARTY

judged by the "straight vote," the loss was 50 per cent. at this writing impossible. But in New York City, for instance sults, however, were not encouraging. A general summary is litical action with non-Socialist organizations. Where possible the first time in its history the party declared for joint poon affliation with working-class bodies was approved, and for bership. At the convention of 1922 the work of the committee body, it found itself at last with a fairly homogeneous memsecession, the remaining group of revolutionaries left it in a finitely faced about and set itself for the return journey. ganizations of the more moderate sort. By this action it dethe advisability of taking joint action with working-class or extremist elements, it appointed a committee to inquire into the possibility of further affiliation with any of the radical was now officially reported as 17,000. Cutting itself off from ciation, thus in effect rejecting the 21 points. Its membership convention decided not to affiliate with any international assoobstructing the world-wide victory of the proletariat. The abandoned, and the Soviet rulers were denounced as a "wreck-Detroit convention in the summer of 1921 this hope had been on the 21 points. There was still hope that by a brave front ingly bitter resentment was voiced at Moscow's insistence up-Soviet régime began to show grave defects, while an increasbegan to look questionable, the tactics and measures of the this policy was carried out in the following campaign. The re-When, in the following September, by another spectacular ing crew" who had "murdered Russian Socialists" and were Lenin might be persuaded to relent, but by the time of the

### CHAPTER II.

### THE REDS

### PART ONE

most persons seem to mean by the term is one who strays and occupations. There are radicals in art, religion, business, a general type of person throughout a wide range of schools no acceptance anywhere; and very likely only a few persons most gorgeously absurd publication printed in the United "the limit"; an extremist, on the other hand, being one who self in somewhere this side of what is colloquially known as from the normal and accepted, but who manages to hold himpossibly in science, and mayhap even in poker playing. What have ever heard of it. As commonly used, the word stands for the economic rent of land. This meaning, it must be said, has the abolition of the political state and the imposition of a tax on States, would limit its application to a person who believes in ary" for a close synonym) is another. kind of social radical (or extremist, according as one chooses far side of any boundaries that may conceivably be drawn. It knows no frontiers and is often, if not usually, found on the to look at him). A Red (with "revolutionist" or "revolutionmore definite, but still generic. A Super-Radical is a particular word "radical" is generic; qualified by the word "social" it is seems best to retain something of the common usage. The THE word "radical" is used variously. The Freeman, a periodical written in proper syntax, but otherwise the

The word "Red" is specific. Since August, 1914, its mean-

THE REDS

great transformation. One of the main counts in the terrific in the category. Technically, an I. W. W. is not now a Red of the Socialist Labor party. The Red is a social extremist who the I. W. was industriously preaching much the same up as a papal seat for the promulgation of the true doctrine, however, the I. W. W. belongs. Years before Moscow set itself of white-livered bourgeois industrial unionists. Historically, catering to the Industrial Workers of the World, a company was that the latter had descended into the sink of iniquity by America against their upstart rivals, the United Communists, indictment drawn up in July, 1920, by the Communists of and since 1919 he has undergone, at least in appearance, a Assuredly he does not take his inspiration from Moscow; revolutionary Communist. One hesitates in listing an I. W. W. takes his inspiration and his phrases from Moscow. He is a the fold), it excludes him. It does include, however, a member crat. Now, unless he is a Left-Winger (if any such remains in Before the war the word included the Socialist or Social Demo-Left. But the swing has tended only to a greater definiteness. he is at least a revolutionary. place for him the I. W. W. goes here. If not technically a Red history, must decide the listing; and there being no other return, the brighter and bolder for his eclipse. Clio, muse of thing; and though fallen from his former estate he may yet

an S. L. P., satisfy himself with the witchcraft of the phrases ness for translating some of these phrases into actions, or like an I. W. W. of the earlier period, display an occasional pronetion. Or, again, like one of the Communists or of the United themselves and the ecstasy that comes of their ceaseless repetitravagant fondness for revolutionary phrases. He may, like The Red, then, is a revolutionary Communist with an ex-

> in taking part in the rites and mummeries of secret conclaves, where, deeming himself safe from espial, he imagines himself a Communists, he may find the highest reach of self-expression chief ecstasy in the imperious dictatorship of the revolutionwhether activist or pacifist, he is no true Red unless he finds his plotter of armed insurrection against the capitalist state. But

ularly so during the last decade. "Just as the democrats in September, 1850, in resigning from the central committee of made a sort of fetish of the words 'the people,' " wrote Marx Marx, again in the days of John Most, and it has been partic-Moreover, it is historic. It was a characteristic in the days of of the Red, so long as it keeps to the cult of proletarianism, deed it is far more powerful-after 72 years of social agitarevolutionary evolution." The fetish is no less powerful—inletariat.' Like them you substitute revolutionary phrases for word, it is not a true thing. of contradictory kinds; but unless it voices itself in the revolumay be any one of a thousand kinds, or any conceivable blend tion and economic and political change. The social extremism the Communist Alliance, "so you make one of the word 'protionary cliché it is naught; though it be honest in deed and This adoration of the phrase is a prime characteristic.

ing groups" and his dilettante auxiliary of the metropolitan who participates in the work of the various burlesque "fightdeed, aside from the many indubitable proletarians in the I. to be further observed, may or may not be a proletarian. Incoteries. The latter will be treated elsewhere. The Red, it is between the Red and the Super-Radical—between the radical compared, but vague and uncertain at the peripheries, is that W. W., a considerable majority of the Reds are, to use the A useful distinction, easily comprehensible when centers are

46

current, but woefully unscientific term, middle-class or upperclass "intellectuals." But if not a proletarian, he must assume the guise and employ the cant of proletarianism, else he is no better than a miserable Centrist, or that still baser thing, a bourgeois opportunist.

proving technique of the revolutionary phrase. study them with fresh interest for new evidences of the imfestoes. But the student curious in such matters will always proper terms. There is little that is original in these manicover agent of the Department of Justice, well-schooled in the volutionary fire the lack has been supplied by some undersure-enough delegates to express themselves with the real rethrown; and if there has been any failure on the part of the volution is on and that the capitalist state is about to be overthese periodical descents into the underworld one gets (unless forth with new revelations. In the manifestoes that issue after Hopi priests in their kivas, assemble in deep recesses to come observe the forms. They must, from time to time, like the one must look for revolutionary guidance to the newer groups internal disturbances, the I. W. W. has mended its ways, and letariat. In vehement language the world is told that the rethe latest and freshest word regarding the uprising of the proone has already got it in the press dispatches from Moscow) on an illegal as well as a legal movement, they must at least Moscow. Pledged, by their adoption of the 21 points, to carry formed since the armistice and drawing their inspiration from subdued by Federal and State prosecutions, no less than by looked for the latest novelty in revolutionism. Now, tamed and body, or to its mentors, the French Syndicalists, that one ing of the I. W. W. In the earlier days it was always to this ment since the outbreak of the World War has been the eclips-Perhaps the most astonishing feature of the radical move-

#### PART TWO

former body got a deserved dose of its own medicine. S. L. P. received at the hands of the I. W. W. after 1908 the ganized by De Leon in 1896; and in the treatment which the of the tactics of the Socialist Trade and Labor Alliance, or organizations, political or industrial, was merely a renewal tensively in many campaigns. Finally, the disrupting of rival which developed it into a system and which employed it init as an irresistible means of warfare against capitalism, too common everywhere. It was the I. W. W. which advocated restricted. As for sabotage, it is a new name for an old thing sooner or later the rights of all insurgent organizations were into a spectacular display of hoodlumism, with the result that remained for the I. W. W. to transform the free-speech fight common sense that usually brought the issue to a victory. It free-speech fights in many places, conducted with a degree of imitations with improvements. The Socialists had had their party, the Socialist Labor party and the trade-unions, were tage, and the long-continued efforts to disrupt the Socialist in bogus free-speech fights, the widespread practice of sabotaken from Georges Sorel and Emile Pouget. What else one rehash of many elements. Its glorification of sabotage was in a diagram derisively termed "the wheel of fortune," was a up by the Rev. Thomas J. Haggerty, a former Catholic priest, Daniel De Leon. Its administrative scheme for society, drawn period, revised and systematized on these shores by the late unionism of that body was French of the First International found in phrase and tactics—the earlier proneness to indulge the I. W. W. Here, also, most was derivative. The industrial JUST so one looked in former days to the proclamations of

Though originating nothing, the I. W. W. brought, by re-

49

THE REDS

Much extenuation of the I. W. W. and no little glorification has been indulged in by certain writers, such as Paul F. Brissenden, the late Carleton H. Parker and Lewis S. Gannett. Mr. Brissenden, in his engaging volume, leads his I. W. W.'s through a "literary cake-walk" (to use a term of Mark Twain's) which has been the entertainment of many readers. But the crowning performance in this line is the article by Mr. Gannett in *The Nation* of Oct. 20, 1920. Those who have known the labor movement these last seventeen years will find little in Mr. Gannett's article even remotely resembling the actuality.

The Socialists who, in the early part of 1905, had protested against the formation of this body found, within a brief time, ample justification for their action. They had maintained that the effect could not be other than disruptive, since the project menaced the integrity of the movement for the organization of labor, both politically and industrially. It would do less harm

to the American Federation of Labor than to the Socialist party. The former would keep on organizing, just as it had done against the menace of the Knights of Labor, and later against the menace of the Socialist Trade and Labor Alliance. But the Socialist party (bitterly opposed to the S. T. and L. A.), which, while respecting the economic integrity of the trade-unions sought to carry the message of Socialism to the union workers, would find its work obstructed at every turn.

These predictions were soon fulfilled. Within six months after its organization the I. W. W. had started a civil war with the capmakers in both New York and Detroit, and within a year it had carried this internal struggle into many of the industrial centers of the country. By the end of three years it had lost or expelled every moderating element that had originally gone into it. Even the De Leon element, though disruptive so far as rival labor organizations were concerned, would have restrained the I. W. W. from some of the evil tendencies which it later developed. By rapid stages it passed to the status of a social and industrial Ishmaelite. It still continued to make a powerful appeal to the impressionable, but its power to organize any considerable part of the working class was gone by 1909.

The real nature of the older I. W. W. is to be found in a study of such narratives as the James Wilson pamphlet (1910); of such episodes as the attempt at reprisals in California after the conviction (an unjust one, I think) of Ford and Suhr (1913-14); of the "literature" of sabotage, vio-

1 There can be small doubt that the sentences of Ford and Suhr would have been shortened but for the threats made and violence committed in their behalf by the I. W. W. It is a satisfaction to me to recall that some years ago I published (California Outlook, February, 1917) an earnest plea for these men, intended for the eye of Governor Johnson. But the public sentiment favorable to them, which at an earlier time would have been powerful enough to have influenced the Governor, had by this time been dissipated through the turbulence of their professed "fellow-workers."

more to obstruct the cause of social justice than the I. W. W element, movement or interest in the United States had done Gannett's dithyrambs. Up to August, 1914, no single force, a significant light on the earlier I. W. W.-ism, much more to and that any means justifies a desired end. What he left casts claimed the doctrine that theft may be a revolutionary act exactly what might have been expected from men who prothe point than Mr. Brissenden's extenuating narrative or Mr. ing on the part of the executives of the I. W. W. which relates fight of 1909. He tells a story of graft, fraud and double-dealdustrial Bulletin. He took part in the Spokane free-speech portance. Wilson had been a member of the General Executive Board of the organization and the editor of the Spokane In-The Wilson pamphlet is of itself a contribution of some imunions that had to cope with its vicious efforts at disruption. the files of trade-union periodicals and of the records of trade-1909 to 1914, it poured forth in an endless stream, and of lence and destruction which for some years, particularly from

All this is to some extent a digression. But it is necessary in providing a background for the treatment of the newer groups of revolutionaries. How the I. W. W. met the war and how the Government and the States ultimately met the I. W. W. may be studied in the records of the great trial in Chicago in the summer of 1918, in the provisions of the various anti-Syndicalism laws and in the prosecutions that have followed. At the Chicago trial there were no doubt injustices done, as there always are under like circumstances. The Government was dealing with an organization whose propagandists had over and over again proclaimed it to be a body defiant of the law and indifferent to current codes of morality; and its members were being tried, rightly or wrongly, quite as much "on general principles" as on specific counts. And so matters were

introduced into the record which had no business there; some of the convictions were probably unjustified, and the sentences were generally extreme.

as to whether or not one so wholly unfamiliar with the subject any kind whatever upon my mind." It must remain a question so vague and indefinite a way that it created no impression of and Vincent St. John were atrocious. His review of the case particular the convictions of Charles Ashleigh, Leo Laukie gument that none of the convictions was justified, and that in testimony given. could rightly have understood the meaning of much of the "prior to the trial I never heard of the organization except in however, may find some significance in his statement that is entitled to the highest respect. One who differs with him, telligence Division of the General Staff of the Army, an ar-Lanier, an attorney and onetime captain in the Military Inin the letter written to President Wilson by Alexander Sidney the evidence submitted cannot here be argued. One may find, Whether or not any convictions should have resulted from

But the I. W. W. has apparently been tamed. In its convention of May, 1919, and again in May, 1921, it adopted fundamental and sweeping reforms. The measure of its sincerity is, however, a matter on which opinions will differ. Its disavowal of sabotage and violence is encouraging, but its denial of past advocacy of these gentle aids to the millennium must incline one to skepticism. The organization, according to its official declaration, "does not now and never has believed in or advocated either destruction or violence as a means of accomplishing industrial reform." The statement regarding the past is a brazen falsehood, known to be such by every person of even the most ordinary information who has followed its course or read its "literature."

THE REDS

ment of proletarian power. "Second, because industrial hisno principle was ever settled by such methods." True; but of capitalist institutions and capitalist morality. All was to view of the time. Nothing was to be saved out of the wreck down before you can build up," expressed the more common given to its opposite, the destructive impulse. "You must tear selves to assume their place in the new society." Here also is timidation." "Third, because such methods destroy the conlarge a part was played by the I. W. W. argument for "incontroversy these last fifteen years who does not know how quired. The earlier position was that by these methods both immediately arrayed against them, and they lose their cause." unlawful methods, all the resources of the Government are tory has taught us that when strikers resort to violence and the lesson has been learned since 1912. The earlier position dustry in which he was employed, and so the writing and pubneed was for each member to learn the technique of the inconvention, as has already been said, decided that the great declaration, however, there is evidence of sincerity; the 1921 go, and the I. W. W. was to begin all over again. For this there voiced in the older days, had no such emphasis as was transformation. The "constructive impulse," though here and to foster and develop in order that the workers may fit themstructive impulse which it is the purpose of this organization He is a person weefully ignorant of radical propaganda and the capitalists and the capitalist state could be intimidated. True again; but again a lesson recently and painfully acwas that such methods were admirably adapted to the attainlication of a number of informational handbooks was ordered Some reasons for the new policy are given: "First, because

the convention of the new Red Trade-Union International (a The I. W W. had an authorized delegate in attendance at

> opposition to the Amsterdam Trade-Union International), gress was not genuinely representative of the revolutionary zations everywhere that refused to accept its dictates; fourth tactics of the I. W. W.; second, that the International is six: First, that the congress had condemned the policy and clined affiliation with the new body. The grounds given were of this delegate, however, the General Executive Board dewhich met in Moscow in the summer of 1921. After the return trumpery organization formed by the Bolshevist chiefs in Communist Party of America. organization, it could not co-operate with such a body as the inhibited by its constitution from affiliating with any political labor movement, and sixth, that even if the I. W. W. were no that it intended to destroy the I. W. W.; fifth, that the con-International had threatened to "liquidate" all labor organily the Communist party under a thin disguise; third, that the political in character, is dominated by politicians and is mere-

own path in its own way. experience." Whatever happens, the I. W W. will travel its lot of cheap politicians who have never had any revolutionary to do with a Communist political movement "dominated by a declaration was made that the organization could have nothing by the local head of the I. W. W. as unauthorized, and the called Workers' Party. His action, however, was repudiated the preliminary New York meeting called to form the sosecretary of the I. W. W. attended, as a "fraternal delegate," In the fall of the same year a former general executive

THE REDS

1 My statement is somewhat sweeping. There was, of course, on the part of the revolutionaries, a fierce insistence on the omission of all "immediate demands" from the party platform. Your true revolutionist is always for the revolution and therefore scornful of anything less. This insistence, however, no matter how furiously made, is never taken with seriousness by an experienced person. It is a part of the revolutionary technique, and is usually, if not always, disingenuous. At the November, 1921, election in New York City, the Workers' League, which included former Left-Wingers in the Socialist party who had so loudly denounced all these alleged palliatives, asked for votes on a platform of "immediate demands."

colleagues, carrying on the cause for Moscow. The welkin was being assailed with thunderous recriminations. It appears that the party had become a "swamp," inhabited by "Centrists," "opportunists," "petty bourgeois pacifists," "Scheidemann Socialists," and all manner of unclean things. In the chaste and polished phrase of Mr. Alexander Stoklitsky (Revolutionary Age, April 5, 1919), "the atmosphere must be cleared from the stinking rotten corpse." They were all doing what they could to "clear the atmosphere."

The election for members of the National Executive Committee had shown the Left-Wingers in the majority, and a National Left-Wing conference (June 21-25) had debated the question of whether the militants should stay in and capture the party or at once organize another. The conference decided that capture was better than secession. The Left-Wing Council thought otherwise, and for a time denounced the majority of the conferees. Controversy had now brought about three factions of Left-Wingers. Two of these, however, managed to coalesce by September 1.

The Socialist party, through its National Executive Committee, had met the emergency by unseating the Left-Wingers newly elected to that body, expelling half the party membership and calling a convention for August 30 in Chicago. When the convention met, a number of the Left-Wingers attempted to take possession of the hall. These intruders were ejected by the police, but the convention had hardly settled to its labors before those of the Left-Wing delegates who had been seated withdrew, and joining the rejected delegates outside the hall, founded the Communist Labor party. On the second day following, September 1, the Communist party was founded, composed mostly of expelled members of the Socialist party.

The Communist party, the backbone of which was the Slavic Federation, and therefore generally regarded at the time as in a special sense the representative of Moscow, produced, after much labor, a manifesto. It was in considerable part taken from the manifesto of the Third International, issued in Moscow the previous March. The phrases having to do with revolution were, however, softened into ambiguity. To the revolutionist they meant revolution; to other persons, what you will. Some selections follow:

only to achieve the immediate purpose of the strike, but to deor support reform measures; their function is agitational.... cures parliamentary representatives, they shall not introduce agitation and propaganda only. If the Communist party sesecondary importance, is for the purpose of revolutionary of the proletariat. . . . Participation in parliamentary camits consistent appeal for proletarian revolution, the overfuller forms and purposes in the mass action of the revoluthe struggle of the proletariat against capitalism, developing wages system of capitalism. The Communist party directs the impossibility of improving their conditions under the spires the workers with a consciousness of their oppression, of Communist party is fundamentally a party of action. It inthe class struggle of the workers against capitalism. . . . The velop the revolutionary implications and action of the mass paigns, which in the general struggle of the proletariat is of throw of capitalism and the establishment of a dictatorship tion. . . . The Communist party shall keep in the foreground The Communist party shall participate in mass strikes, not "The Communist party, . . . is the conscious expression of

Though obviously lacking in fire, these are good revolutionary phrases, the last phrase in particular. No one acquainted with revolutionary terms or with the psychology of the extremists can for a moment mistake the meaning. It is

physical force, armed insurrection, war against the state. If to the initiate it had meant anything less than this it would have been unanimously rejected. The wording, however, takes account of the existence, on the one hand, of prosecutors, government agents, police and all that kind of thing, and on the other hand, of kindly disposed editors of highbrow journals of opinion. The stuff is physical force garbed in a protective covering; it makes provision against a day when it may have to be explained to the suspicious and the unfriendly.

of Justice, both deplorably lacking in a sense of humor, kept of these phrases into action. The police and the Department of the approved type, though with a more skillful covering also issued a manifesto. They all do. Here also were phrases in November came the momentous news that this party had their official account, there was a fusion of the more reconcilacific, between the Gulf and the Great Lakes," according to assumed names "somewhere between the Atlantic and the Paing May (1920) at a secret meeting held by delegates under diose things it had promised itself and the world. In the followimplications of the mass strike or do any other of the granwas able to direct the revolutionary struggle or develop the the two revolutionary bodies on the move, and neither of them Sadly enough, there was small opportunity of translating any received the benediction of Moscow. left out) under the name of the United Communist party; and ble elements of the two parties (the Slavic Federation being The other seceding faction, the Communist Labor party,

There had been stout contenders for the prize of Muscovite recognition. The old Socialist Labor party had fought hard for it; and long letters, written by its national secretary, elaborately explaining American conditions, had been sent to Lenin. Moreover, the party had a seeming advantage in the

THE REDS

rate the honor went elsewhere—and to a then unknown. no legate than an unfaithful or an incompetent one. At any rueful acknowledgment was made that it was better to have against him in The People, the organ of the S. L. P., and the backslid or something; for afterward hard words were said tionary, afflicted with the "yellows," composed of petty bourwould gladly and proudly have taken the distinction to itself. leader of the S. L. P. But Reinstein must have recanted, on tion of Lenin and drew from him high tribute to the departed brought the writings of the late Daniel De Leon to the attennaturally have expected it to win; for it was Reinstein who ence of its legate, failed to "make the grade." One would for all its expenditure of heroic phrase, and despite the preslike reprehensible creatures; while the Socialist Labor party, geois, social patriots, social pacifists, opportunists and such tional's executive committee, was compromising, timid, reac-But the Socialist party, in the judgment of the Third Internations regarding methods had been acceptable to Moscow Moscow. The Socialist party, too, if certain mild reservapresence of a member, Boris Reinstein, near the throne at

#### PART FOUR

law, confirmed (January 24, 1920) this opinion in so far as the the case of the Communist Labor party. In the meantime Communist party was concerned, but rejected it (May 5) in ment of Labor, which was charged with the enforcement of the membership in either of these parties was illegal. The Departopinion that according to the Federal statute of Oct. 16, 1918, LATE in 1919 the Department of Justice had given a formal

> own interpretation, had made a series of raids throughout the country, arresting many hundreds of members of both (January 2) the Department of Justice, acting under its

oners were ordered released. Pending a review of this decision by a higher court, the prisextreme than that necessarily involved in a general strike munist party indicated the advocacy of any kind of force more ing in the turgid language of the declarations of the Comoners had been treated with shameful brutality, and that nothof the raids it had flagrantly violated the law; that the prislanguage of the Communist declarations; that in the conduct provocateurs who had very likely assisted in framing the Justice, asserting that it had employed spies and agents portation. The decision severely scored the Department of who had been arrested in the January raids and held for deceeding of twenty-five alien members of the Communist party, rendered his 35,000-word decision in the habeas corpus pro-Anderson, of the Federal District Court, sitting in Boston, It was on June 23 of the same year that Judge George W

issues," wrote Mr. Lewis S. Gannett, an associate editor of so thorough and restrained a discussion of such fundamental permanent landmarks in the history of human freedom." so many of that journal's oracular judgments, "as one of the cision as epoch-making. "It will stand out," said The New and all other sections of the insurgent press hailed the dea shout of acclamation. The Socialist, radical, Left-Liberal, "that it is certain to become a historic document." There were The Nation, in a two-page article in that periodical (July 3), There were nearly two pages of eulogium and exposition. "It is Republic (July 14), with the painful fatuity which marks From all the insurgent elements all over the country came

10

THE REDS

could not have known it. credible that the editors of the highbrow insurgent journal could have known this; but, on the other hand, it is hardly volutionists themselves. It is incredible that Judge Anderson before its absurdity had been amply demonstrated by the reagree; and the interpretation had not been given to the world language submitted to the court no informed person can which found only innocuous meanings in the revolutionary is to obey its own laws. But with that part of the decision prisoners unlawfully arrested; the first moral duty of a state agents provocateurs. So also must be approve the release of Judge's implication of what happens from the employment of tions, must cordially agree. So, too, must be agree with the oners, every lover of humanity, every defender of free instituviolation of the law and for its brutal treatment of the priswhich denounced the Department of Justice for its flagrant tion. Let it be said first, that with all that part of the decision But the irony of events brought a swift and striking refuta-

For it happens that in May, as has already been stated, perhaps a full month before the rendering of this decision, delegates from the non-Slavic part of the Communist party and

originally meant. It further happens that the official United in they formed the United Communist party, and wherein from the Communist Labor party met in secret session wheremination provided for him. "The United Communist party tion. If any kindly judge or sophisticated editor had before revised declaration were printed, under date of June 12, and them nearer to the heart's desire, nearer to what the framers furthermore they revised these innocuous phrases and shaped capitalist state, it was argued, would attempt to stamp out ary implications" suggested in the earlier proclamation. The revolutionary purpose, without reservation or compromise." makes no pretense of legality," read its manifesto. . . . "The been in doubt as to what these phrases meant, here was illuthat the document was then or shortly thereafter in circula-Communist report of this gathering and the official text of the sedition; and therefore "the working-class must then answer Mass action was at once to move forward to the "revolutionchallenge to the whole capitalist system and a declaration of program of the United party is, what it should be, a bold "develops into open conflict, civil war." force with force," and carry forward the class struggle as it

Despite Secretary of Labor Wilson's decision as to the Communist Labor party and Judge Anderson's decision as to the Communist party, it was now publicly shown, within five weeks after the Wilson ruling, and eleven days before the Anderson ruling, that both parties meant the same thing, and that the thing meant was exactly what every informed person already knew it to be. But there was still another section to be heard from. That was the Communist party proper, composed largely of the Slavic Federation, and thus the particular beneficiaries of Judge Anderson's decision. One had not long to wait. In July this party also held a secret convention,

Arms against arms. Force against force. Workers of the summons to the faithful: "The Communist International calls: aries, the I. W. W. The counterblast ended with this high friendship with that group of shameless bourgeois reactionganization. To crown all, the United Communists had sought torship, nor on the immediate need of an underground or given no word, nor on the inevitability of a proletarian dictation and civil war" the United Communists were said to have stroyed through "mass action culminating in armed insurrecthe cardinal doctrine that the capitalist state is to be demunists timidly regarded as merely a defensive measure. On highest expression of the class struggle," the United Comwhich Communists must consciously prepare, and which is the which to the real Communist is "an offensive measure for geois horror of the destruction of property and lives." Force, shown by the fact that their manifesto reeked with the "bour The poltroonry of the United Communists was further

world, unite! All power to the workers!"

THE REDS

It sounds something like Ancient Pistol:

"Why, then, let grievous, ghastly, gaping wounds Untwine the Sisters Three! Come, Atropos, I say!"

But Pistol, though a swashbuckler and a roarer of fustian, was not incapable of mischief and harm. Occasionally he had to be kicked out of the tavern, or otherwise subdued.

#### PART FIVE

of course, in muffled tones) the "International" and the "Red dressed one another by number. Probably they sang (though, nique was adopted. The number who met was 42, inclusive of second secret conclave. Evidently complete secrecy had not fusively indorsed the 21 points that Moscow had recently dezvous. Furthermore, the conferees were nameless; they ad haps blindfolded, by oath-bound guides, to and from the renperhaps is still) unknown to the conferees. And if one asks much so that even the precise place of the meeting was (and all hands (with the possible exception of a reporter or two) the following winter (probably January, 1921) held their as many for acceptance. They delivered themselves, too, of laid down, and sighed only that there were not twice or thrice Flag." Anyhow, they unanimously, wholeheartedly and ef how that can be, the answer is that each was smuggled, per-It was a darkly, deeply, thrillingly secret conference—so been attained at the previous meeting; and so now a new tech-Moscow that the leaders of the United Communist party, in Ir was with brows freshly decorated with the laurel from

a city ordinance, and the revolution has never taken place." two of them for throwing handbills on the street in violation of was coming May 1. And on May 1 it rained, so they postponed munists," said Mr. Victor L. Berger at the Socialist conventhere were those pestilent creatures, the police. "The Comstars in their courses seemed to fight against it, and even always would it rain on May day, and the time would come But their hopes lived on, and their courage never faltered. Not their revolution to May 6, and on May 6 a policeman arrested April advising the workers not to vote, because the revolution tion in Detroit (1921), "issued circulars in Milwaukee last Jupiter Pluvius stretched forth a restraining hand. Also, when the brutal police would be taught not to interfere with the distribution of Communist handbills. The revolution was to happen almost any time. But the

THE REDS

of Left-Wingers still remaining in the Socialist party were ernment, were keeping close to their kivas, but the small group of 1921. The Communists, harried by the minions of the Govcould not accept the 21 points. For the Left-Wingers that was were unsuccessful. The Detroit convention decided that it and they advertised the fact to the world. In the main they trying to elect their delegates to the forthcoming convention. phraseology which must long remain the despair of combers," and signing themselves "The Committee for the Third "Farewell to the S. P. An Appeal to the Remaining Memdramatic secession from the party. In a manifesto headed the end. In New York, on September 17, they staged another series of mutations and changes of name, became, in January, in the formation of the Workers' Council, and this, after a petitors. Thereupon they joined with other revolutionaries platform which, with beautiful inconsistency, included a numa ticket, under the name of the Workers' League, and with a elements which subsequently formed this Workers' Party ran be indicated by its record at the polls. In November, 1921, the establishing the dictatorship of the proletariat may perhaps ties in the matter of directing the revolutionary struggle and secret and "illegal" United Communist party. Its potentiali-1922, the Workers' Party, the open and legal partner of the International," they let loose a torrent of revolutionary ber of "immediate demands" and excluded most of the stock 1,000 votes. Last fall the farce was repeated, and the vote fell revolutionary phraseology. This ticket polled approximately The thunders of controversy broke loose again in the spring

staged another mummery. By last summer kivas and crypts had lost their novelty, and the secret conclave of the year was In the meantime the non-political or "illegal" wing had

## THE REDS BRING REACTION

fixed for a spot among the arboreal dunes near Bridgeman, Mich. Of course the detectives and constables got there first. At the proper moment, on the morning of August 22, they emerged from their hiding places and gathered in seventeen of the revolutionaries, with great stacks of secret documents. The revolution had again to be postponed. Instead came a fresh wave of alarm which, spreading throughout the country, has given new justifications to the régime of capitalist reaction and has perhaps clothed it with added powers.

### CHAPTER IV

### THE HANGING LIST

#### PART ONE

some of these schools differ from others but by a hair's breadth sciously or subconsciously, the revolutionary mind sorts out need punitive treatment, these erring ones, not merely for of dogma or precept, there must be frequent and striking du-Many are the schools, groups, factions of revolution; and on the Great Day meet retribution will be visited upon them. and catalogues the most conspicuous offenders, confident that their own good, but for the good of the cause. And so, conjudgment are many, and grievous are their imputed sins. They undesirables whom they fondly hope to see coming to just brotherhood. Scornfully they look about them at the insufplications in the enrolment. therefore many must be the indexes of the iniquitous; and as ficiencies and derelictions of their fellow-revolutionists. The fervent heralds of the New Day, the rapt devotees of universal OT kindly, not charitable in the best sense, toward their dissenting brethren of other schools, is the temper of the

For some day we are to have a social upheaval. They all tell us so—Socialist and Socialist Laborite, I. W. W., Communist, United Communist, Left-Liberal, Farmer Laborite and the rest of the deeply carminated brethren. Even the pinker shades comprised in the various groups of insurgency—radicals, pro-war and anti-war "Liberals of the Right," fellowshippers of reconciliation and such like—though they

69

are less outspoken, give us dark hints of the wild hour coming on. Other folk might suppose that the enormous vote for conservatism in the election of 1920 would somewhat temper this expectation. But no. All that it proved, in the eyes of the farseeing, is that the people had taken perhaps a last recourse to political action. If things don't mend—and how can they mend under the régime of the bourgeoisie?—the people will do the thing next time in a different way.

When the upheaval comes, some revolutionary party, faction, group or sect must of course seize the reins of power. It must then first provide for its own security. It cannot allow the mutterings of disaffection; for discontent, though a fine and noble thing under the sway of the bourgeoisie, becomes all at once, on the day of the revolution, a punishable crime. There must be no sowing of the seed of counter-revolution. Tolerance, freedom of speech, press and assemblage are all very well as slogans for a revolutionary minority aiming at power. But when power has been attained these things fade into mere "bourgeois ideologies," and the demand for their realization becomes treason to the revolution. Troublesome persons who still retain this vestigious ideal of a bourgeois society must be got out of the way.

Then, too, there are old scores to settle. The revolutionary parties, groups and factions have been engaged, some of them for years, in bitter fratricidal war and have nourished many and deep resentments. The victorious group must punish not merely for present offenses or for anticipated ones, but for past offenses as well. Treason to the revolution, treason to the working class, is the one unpardonable crime. To each fiery group all other groups are notoriously, brazenly guilty of this capital offense. And since under the bourgeois régime it cannot be punished except by wordy denunciation, in the days

of the revolution it must be brought to full reckoning, with stored-up vengeance.

THE HANGING LIST

#### PART TWO

EACH revolutionary group fervently believes itself the chosen instrument of the revolution. To each of these groups the dictatorship of the proletariat means the domination of the rest of the community by itself. Whatever the tumultuous play of forces on the Great Day, however fierce and protracted the strife, it is one's own group that is seen emerging out of the welter into power. And with one's own group at the helm, it is upon oneself that the honor of high place—if not the highest—seems certain to fall. A marshal's baton was no more vivid an anticipation to a soldier of Napoleon's than is a commissar's badge to a revolutionary private or Lenin's sceptre to a revolutionary leader.

But not even the most enraptured zealot sees this attainment of power as an easy triumph. Power must be won by audacity, by resoluteness, by strife, and once gained it must be held by force. No thorough-going revolutionist deludes himself with the thought that peace follows victory. There must always be kept in mind the nefarious forces of counter-revolution, tirelessly striving to undo what has been done. These forces include not merely the unreconciled bourgeoisie, eager to regain their expropriated possessions, but far worse and far more to be dreaded, the zealots of other schools, disgruntled with the new régime and willing to make common cause with the bourgeoisie to bring about a new shuffle and a new deal.

Even in these pre-revolutionary days are they not constantly

sinning against the light? And what else is to be expected of them when comes the great test? Can the zebra at will change his stripes for patterns in arabesque?

ever, they choose to be good and are disposed to accept the honor of the hanging list. In good time they can be dealt with; be, not for the profiteer, the rent-gouger and their like is the or of the Great Tomorrow. Objects of execration though they be found numbered on the punitive bead-rolls either of today cottonwood. Few, if any, of the great bourgeois offenders will while yet bourgeois democracy flourishes about us like a green decorate the anticipatory lists of the revolutionary fraternity the Great Day and the days thereafter; and it is such as these dulgence falls to the lot of the preacher of false doctrine, the advanced to responsible posts. But no such mollycoddling inrégime, they may even be forgiven, as in Soviet Russia, and the roads or locked up on a diet of bread and water. If, howtheir possessions can be taken, they can be put to work or pation fondly promises him Realization will sternly provide tomorrow, he is numbered with the damned; and what Anticiished force of revolutionary retribution. Today, as it will be misleader of the working class. On him descends the undimin--the present-day suspects of rival schools-whose names It is these whose names will decorate the hanging lists on

If to the curious seeker of recondite knowledge these various anticipatory lists could be revealed, it is likely that they would show many similarities. Certain names might be found to appear on every list but one. Take, for instance, the conspicuous leaders (with one exception) of the Socialist party. They are not popular with the elements more extreme than themselves. Heaven knows they have tried hard to please, but the harder they have tried the less have their efforts availed. They have succeeded only in making these elements

### THE HANGING LIST

their bitter foes and in drawing to themselves the designation of "traitors to the revolution." It is therefore fairly certain that on duly authenticated hanging lists of the I. W. W., the S. L. P., the United Communists and several other such groups the names of at least half a dozen of these leaders would be found to appear in virtually the same order of eminence. An I. W. W. hanging list compared with an S L. P. hanging list would show striking contrasts and strong similarities. Each would give high, if not supreme, place to the leaders of the rival body, but except for this substitution they might be identical.

#### PART THREE

refuge fails, the bewildered and beaten hosts of labor will sentments. Outside itself, it maintains, there is no salvation. cumulated a quite unparalleled stock of antagonisms and reistence this faction has kept up a bitter strife with other radwith an unmatched fanaticism. In its forty-four years of exdoctrine above all things, and which holds to its doctrines America, with a homogeneous membership that sets purity of True, it is insignificant in numbers, and by its iron rigor it this group, rather than another, seize the supreme power? victory. And why not? Granted the revolution, why may not rally for the grand counter-attack which will gain the final The S. L. P. is the rock of revolution; and here, when all other ical organizations, both industrial and political, and has achere is the oldest existing Socialist political organization in field for speculation as that of the Socialist Labor party. For None of these anticipatory lists furnishes so fascinating a

THE HANGING LIST

is thus a long-standing account to settle on the Great Day; shevism, Syndicalism, I. W. W.-ism, or whatever other exciting pulsion to believe anything very hard or very long? Coterie matic appeal, no emotional stimulus. It has demanded of its radical has no friends in the membership of the S. L. P. He unusual mode of making him wiggle and squirm. The parlor parlor radical awakens in the bosom of the Socialist Laborite too fatuous, will evade the settlement by slipping below the and these gay troubadours of the revolution, if they are not mer is to the latter a mere plaything of the bourgeoisie. There radicalism and S. L. P.-ism have nothing in common. The forfollowers discipline—and what free soul could endure the comthing has come along. The S. L. P. has had for him no drahas ignored that body; he has taken up with Anarchism, Boltion, mingled with a strong desire to try out upon him some no such feeling. Derisive contempt is the more common reac-Huron at the stake, he honored the victim as a warrior. But the certain respect. Though the Onondaga burnt the captive schools, it is a hatred that may possibly be blended with a airplane for the nearest frontier. For, after all, though sectarian hatred prompts the proscription of the leaders of rival ing S. L. P. psychology, they will one and all take the first over-friendly) hint of one who has spent many years in studycoteries—how will these ebullient souls fare on the Great Day? differentiated revolutionists and near-revolutionists of the Well, if they want the considerate and humane (though not How will the radical intelligentsia—the unassorted and

has driven thousands from its fold and made of them lasting enemies. But what has been in other days its notorious defect might conceivably, in the days of the great upheaval, prove its crowning virtue and advantage. Surely if, on that day, the upheaving mass searches among all the contending groups for unwavering singleness of aim and fanatical certitude of method, then here will be the body around which to rally. Perhaps the mass will do just that thing. Perhaps the S. L. P. will attain supreme power.

something additional as an example to others. High up or sentments are fierce and abiding. There will be no leniency most expeditiously have to seek tall timber. The S. L. P. rea lessening volume of anathema has been poured out upon the at various times has risen to great heights. If in recent times succeed in throwing a monkey-wrench into the machinery though futile efforts to escape. Next are the leaders of the years of stored-up bitterness for certain persons to expiate. its lists will be the leaders of the Socialist party. There are proscriptions. Certain it is that a great many persons will the American Federation of Labor, the bitterness of which There is an older quarrel, a long struggle with the leaders of heads. They also will know what is coming unless they can I. W. W., familiarly designated as the "Bummery." Here is They know it, and they will probably have made frantic The proscribed will get all that is fitting to the offense and piation. The younger groups of revolutionists, such as Comrankle, and on the Great Day there will be appropriate exdelude himself with the hope of immunity. The old wounds have drawn so much upon themselves. No Federationist need Federation leaders, this is only because competing groups further accumulation of wrath to be visited upon certain And therefore it is profitable to speculate on the matter of

# 74 THE REDS BRING REACTION

Of such, then, in outline, is the anticipatory hanging list of one of the divisions in the great revolutionary army. Relatively, it is a rigid list, for the principles on which it is based are fixed, and the S. L. P. professes never to stretch or alter its principles. On the Great Day the program would be carried out. There would be no amendment by coalition—no pooling of proscriptions, as with the triumvirate of Octavius, Anthony and Lepidus, wherein one element yields up a few of its friends in order to obtain the sentencing of its enemies. This S. L. P. will make alliance with no "impure organization, and all organizations other than itself and its auxiliary bodies are "impure." It will do the thing itself or not at all.

#### PART FOUR

Or course, after all, the great event may never happen. We may go right along pretty much as now, occasionally jailing a too exuberant advocate of general hanging day and patching up things as we proceed. But to the minds of so many imaginative and zealous beings this glorious consummation has been vivid and real; to so many souls it has been a source of uplift, of inspiration, comfort, peace and joy, that it deserves some mention in the records of the time.

### CHAPTER V

### THE SUPER-RADICALS

#### PART ONE

dios are not necessarily Reds. They may or may not be Reds, as shifts the individual mood. The prefix super in its present application carries all the heritage of its Latin origin; it means over and above and extra, as well as beyond. Super-Radicalism is not Socialism, nor Communism, nor Anarchism, nor any other specific "ism" that can be expressed in a code. It is any one of these "isms," or any blend of them, plus. It is the something else, the just beyond. It is, to alter slightly an old line, "the little more and what worlds away." It dwells in a paradise of its own, where no troubling hobgoblin of foolish consistency is ever permitted to show its face. 1

This transcendent kind of social extremism sprang into being in the early part of 1912. It was the direct result of the strike of woollen operatives at Lawrence, Mass. Of course there had been Super-Radicalism before then—many varieties, with many manifestations. But it had not penetrated, except incidentally, the parlors, studios, tea-rooms and col
1 Mr. John Spargo, in his book, "The Psychology of Bolshevism," has done excellent work in analyzing the causative factors of this phenomenon. Doubtless he will agree with me, however, that many of the factors elude analysis and definition. The best that any of us who have carefully studied the phenomenon can do in the matter is to set down certain data, leaving to another time, when social psychology shall have become a more exact science, the full and true explanation.

of a sudden were seized with the fever of revolutionism. Why strangeness, but seemingly too dangerous for close approach, about the fringes of the radical movement, taking now and gan to voice the patter of one-big-unionism, "direct" action ingly dubious interference with the status quo, all at once bepression and plunder, and even trade-unionism as an exceedas a thing of terror, Socialism as an elaborate scheme of resome future social psychologist may give months of study Anyhow, there it was-a phenomenon to the study of which of Freudian repressions, perhaps of a DeVriesian mutation be, no one can say. Perhaps it was the result of a long series the transformation happened, how it then and there came to then fearful but ecstatic peeps at something fascinating in its been only timid and cautious; those who had merely hung blossoming of Super-Radicalism. Those who before then had of those whom the day before he had looked upon as radicals ground of extremism turned to mock the bourgeois moderation onlooker took all stages in a single leap, and from the farthest and radical became extremist, often it happened that the timic symptoms. Though as a rule conservative became radical, and sabotage. Those on whom the fever fell showed various Many who had regarded Anarchism as chaos, I. W. W.-ism epidemic. the armistice, six and a half years later, it was an emotional beyond the pale of recognition. Like the outbreak following With the Lawrence strike came an instant burgeoning and

## THE SUPER-RADICALS

stood their meaning! volutionism who a week earlier would not even have underof political endeavor; and finally, it was an authentic presage of the superiority of "direct" action over the "indirect" action excited imaginations of the newly converted the strike was a these phrases fell from the lips of the ardent neophytes of reof the overthrow of the capitalist system. How trippingly tion; it was an irrefutable proof of the solidarity of labor and world-shaking event. It was the beginning of the great revoluthe I. W. W. and some of their parlor auxiliaries. But to the the management of the strike taken over by the extremists of eral days after these wage-earners had left their machines was and overworked foreign-born wage-earners; and not until sev-That strike began as a spontaneous walk-out of underpaid could have been expected to give rise to such a phenomenon. There was nothing in the Lawrence strike which rationally

have expected from them the demand for the payment to each revolutionary, at least potentially so. One might reasonably ary, while all so-called "direct" action is, if not immediately all political action is necessarily compromising and reaction the machinery of government; they were wont to declare that demands. These leaders professed to despise the ballot and al despite all the talk about revolution, there was nothing revosettlement was made, and the strikers returned to work. But, Congress intervened; a hearing was held; after some delay a ington. At the instance of Representative Victor L. Berger suppressing their scorn of political action, appealed to Washfailed. It was a lost battle when its "direct"-action managers, woollen companies of all right and title to their properties: worker of the "full value of his product"; the surrender by the lutionary in the terms of settlement, nor even in the leaders But, as a demonstration of the power of labor, the strike

#### PART TWO

But the lesson, whatever it might be, was lost on both the activists of the I. W. W. and on their associates of the coteries. For months thereafter, until all interest in the episode had been swallowed up in the swirl and rush of new activities, the victory was exultantly acclaimed. The meagreness of the benefits won, as well as the fact that only Congressional intervention made even a partial victory possible, was ignored, and the result was blazoned as a proof of the irresistible might of the "revolutionary spirit" manifesting itself through "direct" action. Coterie radicalism, which had begun as an epidemic, now became a developed cult, with the prompting to a perpetual hunt for fresh emotional adventures.

Between the summer of 1912 and the spring of 1916 it busied itself with a great number of heterogeneous causes.

### THE SUPER-RADICALS

saturated with partisanship for the German cause, Superstrike; and no other strike, and, indeed, no other domestic careless rapture, had gone with the ending of the Lawrence of most of the remainder. Conceivably it might, had there been devotion of at least some of its elements, the partial devotion lective state of mind the force of nature could no further go. vism was the cause supreme. In fitting a social cause to a colcentration for all its strangely divergent elements. Bolshe-Radicalism found its deepest interest and the point of conpolitical power in Russia by Lenin and Trotzky, and Superof their war aims. With November, 1917, came the seizure of lied Governments for declarations, and ever new declarations, itself together again with the reiterant demand upon the Alsemblance of caution; whereupon, changing its tack, it drew its more violent devotees and to induce in the others some until neighborhood pressure and the law combined to suppress movement became for a time more vociferous and defiant, ments. With actual intervention by the Government, the Radicalism found reintegration and an accession of new eleter-movements of anti-preparedness and militant pacifism, had come to see the necessity of intervention; and in the counby the spring of 1916 responsible public opinion in America restored it to spiritual wholeness. But the war came to Europe; happening short of a general overturn of society, could have no war, have spent itself in diffusion. Its unity, its first, fine Nothing was too fantastic or too trivial for the whole-hearted

Bolshevism, with the multiplicity of its appeal, easily overshadows all other causes. Big, wild and incomprehensible, misted with the glamor of the remote, it is the haven of imaginative adventure for restless souls at outs with their environment. Though it is the utter negation of some part or all of what they professedly believe and strive for, it yet draws to its

frantic support the most heterogeneous elements. Anarchists who reject all law, pacifists who declaim against the use of armed force, Socialists who inveigh against the conscription of labor; Single-Taxers (some of them) who oppose the nationalization of capital, democrats who demand representative government and a universal franchise—from all camps are assembled the eager acclaimers of this social monstrosity. They are, and in a sense rightly, unconscious of any inconsistency; since having, for themselves, abolished consistency, they are always, with themselves, consistent.

#### PART THREE

nothing. Whatever the thing that is, it is some other thing be termed: for, like the Nihilists of old, they are satisfied with tribe, and the will to be different their guiding motive. Infor a somewhat different entity, fits aptly the super-souls of or mandragora, have imagined one; and yet his term, coined Radical and probably could not, even under the spell of poppy different." Coleridge could obviously never have seen a Superthan Coleridge, to represent the "state or quality of being It is a good word, that last one, framed by no less a person for the unformed and the imagined. Neo-Nihilists they might fly the obvious; they reject the existent and the established door and out, they pursue the unusual and the remote; they the metropolitan areas. Difference is the badge of all their the mass they might well be termed the addicts of alterity. ers of conformity and restraint, devotees of difference. In FREE and untrammeled souls are the Super-Radicals—scornthat they want; and if that other thing is attained, it is in

### THE SUPER-RADICALS

turn rejected. One and all, they are devotees at the shrine of the great god Something Else. When something more thrilling comes along, they will desert even Bolshevism.

Yet different as they strive to be—different from the bourgeoisie and different from one another—how much they reveal in common! In susceptibility to every fresh contagion of unrest they are almost of one blood. In most of them is the passion to be persuaded or convinced first, and to understand why—if at all—later. In all of them is the primitive adoration of power. It is not intellectual or moral power that is the object of this idolatry, but the physical power which actually or supposedly can subdue and compel. That they are fierce declaimers against such exercises of powers as threaten themselves is nothing to the point. Of power in itself they are idolators. True enough, what they regard as power is often but a shabby counterfeit. But the fact proves only the devotees' dim sense of reality. The worship is ardent, even believe.

Where else than among these rhapsodists of change does one hear so much of the phraseology of power? They did not, of course, invent this phraseology; they are not its sole users; and for the constant development of its technique they must turn to the Reds. But no others so eagerly adopt it, so fervently speak it and find in its utterance such wonder-working magic. It is, to them, at once poetry and ritual. They think in symbols of force; they clamor for the "dictatorship of the proletariat" (meaning the dictatorship of some Lenin or Kun), they magniloquently devote themselves to the "overthrow of the system," the "expropriation of the bourgeoisie," the "conquest of the state," and, in Lenin's phrase, the "crushing out of opposition"; and they thrill with pride at the

The thing worshipped as the embodiment of power may be personal. It may be the latest apostle from the west, with a gospel of one-big-unionism and dynamite. The Roaring Bills and the Big Jims from the hinterland always find in the coteries the most eager listeners to their tales of daring-do and their dark hints of devious things yet to be done. Or the thing may be military, as the German war machine in the days when it was hammering its way toward Paris and the Channel ports. Professedly they are anti-militarists, these emancipated ones; pacifists, too, and decriers of force; and yet many of them were swept by an exultant thrill at the spectacle of this tremendous exercise of might. Out of their own futility and weakness they paid awesome tribute to what seemed to them irresistible power.

Or the thing worshipped may be political, as the Lenin-Trotzky régime in Russia. Professedly these emancipated ones are democrats, clamorous for self-determination and freedom of speech and of press and of assemblage. And yet every violation of every one of their professed principles by the usurping régime has served only to draw from them acclamations of delight. There are "some of us," ecstatically warbles one of the associate editors of The Nation, in a contribution to a Communist periodical, "who feel that we have found our holy city in the red streets of Moscow." To feel, in such a matter, is less than to know; an utter and a fervent certitude is lacking only because upon this dream-city, this Xanadu of the revolutionaries, still rests some lightsome blot or shadowy defect. What it may be is not told, though doubtless it can be guessed.

1 The Liberator, April, 1919, p. 43.

### THE SUPER-RADICALS

The violent and ruthless régime which calls forth this tribute—a régime marked by suppression, robbery, enforced starvation and wholesale executions—is something a shade less than holy not because it has done tyrannous and bestial things, but because in spite of them it has not conquered its opponents—it has failed as a demonstration of sheer power. Had it absolutely succeeded; had it "crushed out opposition," as Lenin declares a proletarian régime must do, no doubt there would have been full conviction of its holiness.

The thing worshipped as the embodiment of power must be a remote thing, whose compulsion can not come too near themselves. Or if near at hand, it must be no more than a realized make-believe. It must be such as to furnish the ecstacy of danger without its reality. The thrills aroused by close proximity to the Roaring Bills, the Big Jims and other thrasonical swashbucklers and counterfeits of power are only such thrills as children, in their games, garner from pretended bears and imagined dragons. It is an intenser type of thrill which was awakened by a contemplation of the Ludendorff war machine or is now kept at its peak load by the thought of the Lenin political machine. Here is power—real, if not absolute—and too distant to disturb the sheltered and secure lives of the worshippers. Here the imagination is given free play, and the responsive ecstasy touches all depths and heights.

Mere ordinary beings may wonder that "free souls" can rejoice in the exercise of compulsion. They might rather expect in these emancipated ones a conviction like Shelley's, that power (of the irresponsible kind)

".... like a desolating pestilence, Pollutes whate'er it touches,"

and that the only justification for the exercise of power is

the enforcement of justice and the promotion of well-being. They might expect this; but they do not know the radical super-souls, nor do they reflect that kind Nature, in her task of attempted compensation, endows the inept and the futile with glowing visions of conquest and supremacy.

#### PART FOUR

surroundings; the reality and import of what happens about a Bolshevist régime. They are "free souls," while Bolshevism is that no one of these ecstatic spirits would care to live under vism. And yet if anything is certain in an uncertain world it them. For the moment they are clamorous partisans of Bolsheregarding their own goals and desires; their relation to their dezvous with delusion. No people so habitually gull themselves for self-deception. The Super-Radicals have a perpetual ren-But here also they are one in their indulgence of the passion is decided and done, while under Bolshevism a small clique arspeech. They want for themselves full participation in all that ty-while Bolshevism means the rigorous suppression of of loquacity (if there be any) are to be cured by more loquaciclamor—they believe, to paraphrase Macaulay, that the evils means a multitude of restraints. They believe in chatter and tered by laws, customs, and all the institutions and traditions vism professes a theory of equal distribution. They are sheland incomes with which they are loth to part, while Bolsherogates to itself all power. Bolshevism is, in theory at least, of an ordered society, while Bolshevism assures nothing but a fops and fribbles of revolution. Most of them have possessions the exaltation of the horny-handed, while they are only the

chronic insecurity of condition and a perpetual danger to life. Not one of these ebullient emotionalists would wish, if the test came, to make the exchange. To the imagination, to the entranced spirit on its winged adventure, Bolshevism may be a second Eden, whose capital is almost a "holy city of dreams"; but to the sober sense of reality the solid claims of a bourgeois republic are conclusive. The laudators of Bolshevist Russia prefer to stay in the United States. The fervor with which they laud Bolshevism is in direct ratio to the degree of consciousness they possess that the thing is unattainable in America.

serves to drive back into timid reactionism those who might direction of their professed aims; for usually their stageplay of all bodies the least influential on public thought and the firmative influence is in most times inappreciable. progress. Their propaganda is sown far and wide; but its af have been of at least some possible use in the work of socia they unquestionably have—that is, an influence opposite the made no impress. A negative influence on the mass of mankind yet on the regularly organized labor movement they have associations which they form or with which they affiliate are tesque side of every question that comes up. The groups and chief destiny that awaits them is to be perpetually on the grothe name of Labor, that oftenest they profess to speak; and least effective in promoting change. It is for Labor, and in ticularly their leaders, speak as the arbiters of destiny, the ance which has small basis in reality. Though they, and partheir clamors and pronouncements and activities an importtheir own emotions for public movements," they ascribe to relation to their surroundings. Fatuous in always "mistaking desires, they further hoax themselves in the matter of their As they hoax themselves with false ideas of their goals and

race many thousands of years to learn, that they find audience. landmarks are down, when ordinary pathways are obliterated it is only in times of stress and upheaval and confusion, when sible in mankind—to the mood which tires of hearing Aristides For their appeal is to the vagrant and fugitive and irresponand when people momentarily forget what it has taken the but only chaos and black night. unstable, and that its vagrant moods are infrequent; else welcomes change it rejects the counsels of the fitful and the it faces the realities and holds them in mind; that though it for mankind that in the main it carries a settled mood; that tango in politics, industry and social organization. Well is it the relaxed consciousness, to the holiday desire for jazz and called the Just, to the temper willing to try anything once, to there could be no social organization among the sons of men In normal times indeed there are few to give ear to them:

exists about them. The fervent acclamation which they gave tion regarding the reality and import of what happens and to the Lawrence strike as Chapter I of the great revolution except the "revolutionary spirit," "direct" action, and incithe denouncers and excoriators of virtually all that is-all hourly commit regarding a thousand other matters. They are was no more extreme a misuse of their judgments than they to part with, but even the things which most of the world things which a considerable section of the world seems willing and intolerable; and therefore all must go-not merely the law and custom is bourgeois; all that is bourgeois is outworn many of them the test of values is a simple one: All morality dentally, the indulgence of their own individual caprices. To institutions to go," writes one of the high-priests of the cult seems inclined to retain. "Family life is the last of the barbaric These emancipated ones are equally adepts at self-decep-

in the most accepted and authoritative of its organs; "and it remains because it is still too sacred to tell the truth about." Nothing much, it would appear, is to be salvaged from the smash. There must be no link with the past.

To one who accepts evolution this ascription to the bourgeoisie of responsibility for all existing customs and institutions may prove a bit puzzling. The bourgeoisie are only a recent development in society, whereas most of the restraints against which the radical super-soul so fiercely revolts have come down to us from remote antiquity. Nevertheless, reply the "revolutionaries," these banalities of custom and institution are here; the bourgeoisie are also here, and in power; and they must bear the responsibility, if not of creation, at least of upkeep and protection. All must go—institution and agent and all his works—and the decks must be swept clean for a new start.

#### PART FIVE

That there will be change, who can doubt? There are those of us who believe that it will be a thorough-going change, radically altering the economic relations of men. But of the coming change, whatever it is to be, these faincant though voluble souls are not to be the prompters. Nor is it likely that they will function even as its celebrants, and with shouting and the beating of tom-toms announce its arrival, as the mob in an African village announces a new birth; since the change, whatever it is to be, can not possibly be one that follows the course of their professed desires. It is a poor old world, this one we inhabit, with a multitude of evils and maladjustments;

## THE REDS BRING REACTION

but its denizens, as a rule, show no inclination to plunge en masse into a chaos of contradictory purposes.

Rather, the function of the radical super-souls is to be the playfolk in the Great Pageant of social progress; to furnish the diversion; to amuse, though by turns they irritate, the planners and renovators, the delvers and builders of the world. They amuse by their plaintive clamor against the bourgeoisie; by their eternal fitfulness and their egregious vanity, their multitude of contrarieties of purpose and profession. But sometimes a few of the more ardent spirits play beyond their rôles; they irritate and offend, and thereby draw down upon themselves from the rest of society a punitive correction. Otherwise they are all indulgently left in peace. The Great Pageant moves on, while they give themselves to their tireless quest for fresh emotions and new adventures.

### CHAPTER VI

# THE REJECTED ALTERNATIVE

#### PART ONE

It is to be sizzled in a frying pan, a leap into the fire is unlikely to furnish relief. To the collectivist who, though he has no love for bourgeois capitalism, yet clearly sees the evils of Bolshevist sovietism, the aptness of this adage is constantly borne home. He has small desire to exchange a system under which, for all its defects, he has definite guarantees, a wide range of opportunities and a certain freedom of action, for one under which he would have nothing but the memory of what he had lost.

Yet Bolshevism, or some variant of that political and ethical chaos, is the only alternative to capitalism that any of our domestic heralds of a new order now offer him. Though rival factions quarrel over the terms and conditions and still more over the manner in which the system is to be brought in and the agencies that are to be intrusted with the dictatorship, Bolshevism or near-Bolshevism is their common goal. True, the party Socialists profess to want something now for America different from Bolshevism. But their ardor for Bolshevism in Russia makes one suspicious that what they want for us here can differ little from what they want for the Russians. The recent criticisms and sharp reprobations of the Soviet Government have to do with means rather than ends, and they have been forced by the cruel obduracy of Moscow.

They indicate no fundamental change. And thus, since all radical roads lead to Bolshevism, more than ever before it becomes necessary for the open-minded collectivist seriously to compare the thing proposed with the thing that is. On the one hand is the system of capitalism as it obtains in the bourgeois republic of the United States. On the other hand is the set of conditions which prevails in Russia under the rule of Lenin and Trotzky and the proposals advanced by Bolshevists and near-Bolshevists in this country.

#### PART TWO

by most of the advocates of a new order. Formerly, with most by whatever name it calls itself, adopts any means for mainrule. There is nothing novel in the fact that an oligarchy ery for the protection of minorities and for the change of wide differences of opinion and constructs elaborate machinhighly developed capitalist countries. Capitalism allows for progress of political democracy has been greatest in the most In the first place, capitalism promotes political democracy. either disavowed and rejected, or disingenuously explained of them, democracy was a cardinal article of faith. Now it is the past—is found in the attitude toward democracy now held taining its power. The novel thing—the complete break with Bolshevism, on the other hand, openly repudiates popular rulers as yesterday's minority becomes today's majority No one has shown this fact more plainly than Karl Marx. The to mean something else than what they have heretofore held it

In the second place, capitalism permits its opponents to live

# THE REJECTED ALTERNATIVE

and move and have their being. Bolshevism, on the other hand, is largely occupied with putting its opponents out of the way. The main necessity of a proletarian revolution, says Lenin, is to crush out opposition, and the Bolshevists and near-Bolshevists sanction this course as one eminently wise and necessary for the protection of the régime. Under capitalism the antagonist of the government seeks the limelight. Under Bolshevism, unless he can buy immunity, he is more likely to seek an underground cell; and he would be equally self-effacing under the rule of any of the groups that are now clamoring for a proletarian dictatorship. This bourgeois republic draws the line at interference with the prosecution of a war and at plotting for a violent overthrow of the government; but outside of these self-preservative restrictions it allows its antagonists an almost unrestrained freedom of speech, press and assemblace.

Of all contradictions in a contradictory world there can hardly be one more absurd than the sight and sound of voluble agitators giving themselves up to violent accusations against the government and in the same breath denouncing the government for its repression; in the same breath, moreover, while denouncing the minor repressions of a democratic republic, excusing and even extolling the major repressions of a usurping oligarchy in Russia. No very lively sense of reality can be predicated of such persons. They see—that is, those of them for whom the role is not a mere theatric pose—everything in a distorted perspective. They have fanned their emotions into fanaticism, and as fanatics they see and feel and speak.

In the third place, capitalism does not convict the individual offender by secret accusation, but by public trial according to long established forms. Neither Bolshevism nor

93

any of its sister creeds has any scruples about the means so long as it gets its man. Let it be granted that before our courts there is often found to be one law for the rich and one for the poor; it is certain that in Russia there is one law for the Bolshevist and another for the non-Bolshevist. Granted that in this country we sometimes have the outrageous frame-up of a Mooney trial; in Russia all trials are Mooney trials if only the accused is other than a defender of the régime; and so they would be in America under any revolutionary group that succeeded in forcing itself into power. The cynical apologies, now so common, for terrorism as a means of installing and maintaining the reign of brotherhood, benevolence and justice, leave no intelligent person a doubt that what has happened in Russia would be wrought out here on a larger scale and with a more ferocious intensity.

Let it be further granted that in this country we have outbreaks of lynch law. But in the first place, our lynch law is sporadic; it has not been developed into a system, as in Russia; and in the second place its most typical example—that of hanging or burning of a negro for rape—has nothing to do with the economic conflict and might conceivably as readily happen under Communism as under capitalism. Nothing that our revolutionists offer us in the matter of the administration of justice is likely to convince persons who use their minds. It is even to be said that these revolutionists do not convince themselves; for there is not a sane one among them who would not, as he reflects upon the animosities of the contending groups, a thousand times rather trust his chances before a tribunal to a capitalist judge than to a revolutionary judge from a rival faction.

# THE REJECTED ALTERNATIVE

#### PART THREE

These are but three instances in a list of comparisons which any sincere and intelligent collectivist can indefinitely extend. Such a one has no need to forget or ignore what he has always regarded as the inherent defects and the attendant evils of capitalism. He has no need to surrender any part of his faith. He may believe as ardently as ever in the ultimate coming of the cooperative commonwealth. He has merely to compare, open-mindedly and point by point, the system under which he lives with that which now prevails in Russia and to test the comparison in the light of the utterances of the revolutionary proponents of a new order. He cannot but conclude that the part of wisdom is rather to bear those ills he has than fly to others that he knows too well.

more remote. Yet he cannot but see that this flamboyant and ago seemed so promising has been pushed back into a future despoiled him of his hopes and that the goal which a few years latanism, social Jesuitry and revolutionism has for the time social revolutionism. He may grieve that a fusion of charamelioration than to the countenancing of turbulent and antifar more profitably give himself to the common effort toward them; and he can, in his devotion to the cause of humanity, ble or eradicable even under the system which he believes creates matter; and he cannot but believe that in some future time unanimously rejected by labor, which has most to say in the no roots in the great mass of the people; that it is almost corybantic revolutionism is a transitory thing; that it has saner movement. the forces making for social progress will coalesce into a Many of these ills, he will see, are remediable ills-amenda-

The capitalist order can assuredly draw no plaudits from

one who has seen and comprehended the vision of the cooperative commonwealth. But when set off in sharp contrast to the wretched alternative now proposed, it reveals at least an acceptable modus vivendi—a workable means of going on. At any rate it carries a franchise from the majority; it is responsive to criticism; and it maintains itself by a constant series of adjustments to human needs. Bolshevism and its sister creeds base themselves on a terroristic reaction which denies the most primal rights of human beings and asks no other franchise than the bayonet. The common sense of free men rejects the proposal.

#### PART FOUR

Nor until after this emotional epidemic has worn itself out, will it be possible to re-form and reorganize the social-minded elements which it has scattered and for the time disheartened. Even when it has passed it will have left a chain of evil effects long to continue. In most of the European countries, especially those nearest Russia, the recovery from this epidemic (for every nation had it in some degree) was rapid; and where it was most rapid the growth of the political labor movement has been greatest. Here the ailment yet lingers, and here there is still chaos. The immediate outcome is wholly unpredictable.

It was a sorry tale of human weakness and ignorance and mutual antagonism with which the Fury, in Shelley's drama, tortured the heart of the fettered Prometheus. Valid and true enough it may have been, as told in the circumstance and setting of the year 1819. But much has happened in a hundred and four years—the spread of democracy, the pervasion of

# THE REJECTED ALTERNATIVE

that (despite the clapperclaw of the highbrow insurgent molded a new environment. It is not true in the year 1923 up stores of experience, has developed new faculties and has progress and reaction. Mankind in its strivings has heaped the ideal of social justice, bold experiments, alternations of dom." It is not such imputed ills and defects which thwart and press) the powerful are necessarily wanting in all virtue; or out or pushed aside, unitedly would the movement go onward pany of fakir, playboy and adventurer. Could these be blotted of hate and discord, the fanatic firebrand, and his motley combaffle the movement for social justice. Retardation and dethat "the wise want love," or that "those who love want wisthat "the good want power but to weep barren tears"; or with every promise of an enduring triumph building a fairer world the most evil enemies are that inciter but in benevolence—is that in this very practical business of justly be told the still-fettered Prometheus—and not in malice feat come not from without but from within. What now might

APPENDIX A

## THE TWENTY-ONE POINTS

(Adopted July, 1920)

The second congress of the Communist International adopts the following conditions for membership in the Communist International:

1. The entire propaganda and agitation must bear a genuinely Communistic character and agree with the program and the decisions of the Third International. All the press organs of the party must be managed by responsible Communists, who have proved their devotion to the cause of the proletariat. The dictatorship of the proletariat must not be talked about as if it were an ordinary formula learned by heart, but it must be propagated for in such a way as to make its necessity apparent to every plain worker, soldier and peasant through the facts of daily life, which must be systematically watched by our press and fully utilized from day to day.

The periodical and non-periodical press and all party publishing concerns must be under the complete control of the party management, regardless of the fact of the party as a whole being at that moment legal or illegal. It is inadmissible for the publishing concerns to abuse their autonomy and to follow a policy which does not entirely correspond to the party's policy.

In the columns of the press, at public meetings, in trade unions, in co-operatives, and all other places where the supporters of the Third International are admitted, it is neces-

<sup>1</sup> There are two English translations current (both of them obviously bad) of this famous document.

# THE REDS BRING REACTION

bourgeoisie, but also its accomplices, the reformers of all sary systematically and unmercifully to brand, not only the

- replace them with well-tried Communists, without taking ofgroups, co-operatives, and municipal administrations) and ganizations, editorial offices, trade unions, parliamentary or less important posts in the labor movement (in party ormove the reformist and "centrist" elements from all the more munist International must regularly and systematically refrom the masses. of "experienced" opportunists will be filled by plain workers fense at the fact that, especially in the beginning, the places 2. Every organization that wishes to affiliate with the Com-
- it is absolutely necessary to combine legal with illegal activiof a state of siege and because of exceptional laws directed moment will be helpful to the party in fulfilling its duty to parallel illegal organization machine which at the decisive such circumstances the Communists can have no confidence in class struggle is entering upon the phase of civil war. Under against them, are unable to carry on their whole work legally, the revolution. In all countries where the Communists, because bourgeois legality. It is their duty to create everywhere a In nearly every country of Europe and America the
- duty and would be incompatible with membership in the Third such activities would be the same as treason to revolutionary of exception it is to be carried on illegally. Renunciation of ganda in the army. Where this agitation is forbidden by laws cial obligation to carry on a vigorous and systematic propa-International. 4. The duty of spreading Communist ideas includes the spe-
- It is necessary to carry on a systematic and well-planned

## THE TWENTY-ONE POINTS

proletarian revolution. reliable, semi-reformist hands is equal to renunciation of the the country. Renunciation of this work or its transfer to unworkers in the city and the country who have connections in be carried on with the help of the revolutionary Communist greatly in importance at the present time. It must principally population. The Communistic work in the country is gaining agitation in the country districts. The working class cannot farmers, and the neutrality of part of the rest of the village the country proletariat and at least a part of the poores triumph unless its policy will have insured it the support of

- able to prevent fresh imperialistic wars. a "democratic" renovation of the League of Nations, will be agreement regarding the limitation of armaments, no kind of kind of an international court of arbitration, no kind of an that, without the revolutionary overthrow of capitalism, no systematically bring to the attention of the workers the fact but also the dishonesty and hypocrisy of social pacifism, and tional is obligated to unmask not only open social patriotism, 6. Every party that wishes to belong to the Third Interna-
- cile itself to a condition that would allow notorious opportunvery brief period. The Communist International cannot reconform of an ultimatum the execution of this break within a Communist International demands unconditionally and in the break throughout the ranks of the entire party membership. ism and with the policy of the "center" and to propagate this national are obligated to proclaim a clean break with reformhave the right to be counted as members of the Third Internaferding, Hillquit, Longuet, Macdonald, Modigliani, et al., to ists, such as are now represented by Turati, Kautsky, Hil-Without this a logical Communist policy is impossible. The 7. The parties wishing to belong to the Communist Inter-

ment for freedom in the colonies, not only with words but with Communist International is obligated to unmask the tricks of oppresses other nations. Every party wishing to belong to the countries whose bourgeoisie is in possession of colonies and deeds; to demand the expulsion of its native imperialists from its own imperialists in the colonies; to support every moveticularly clean-cut stand by the parties is necessary in those country a genuine fraternal feeling for the working populathose colonies; to create in the hearts of the workers of its own carry on a systematic agitation among the troops of its own tion of the colonies and for the oppressed nations, and to country against all oppression of the colonial peoples. 8. In the matter of colonies and oppressed nations a par-

munist agitation within the trade unions, the workers' and national must systematically and persistently develop a Comit is necessary to organize Communistic nuclei1 which, through mass organizations of the workers. Within these organizations shop councils, the co-operatives of consumption and other unions, etc., for the cause of Communism. These nuclei are obcontinuous and persistent work, are to win over the trade party as a whole. social patriots and the instability of the "center." The Comligated in their daily work everywhere to expose the treason of munist nuclei must be completely under the control of the 9. Every party wishing to belong to the Communist Inter-

is obligated to carry on a stubborn struggle against the carry on a most emphatic propaganda among the workers or-Amsterdam International of the yellow trade unions. It must 10. Every party belonging to the Communist International

In the other translation, "cells."

# THE TWENTY-ONE POINTS

ganized in trade unions for a break with the yellow Amsterdam ate with the Communist International. International Association of the Red trade unions which affili-International. With all its means it must support the rising

elements, and to make these groups subject to the party exgroups to a revision, to cleanse these groups of all unreliable are obligated to subject the personnel of the parliamentary ecutives, not only in form but in fact, by demanding that each and agitation. ties to the interests of genuinely revolutionary propaganda Communist member of parliament subordinate his entire activi-11. Parties wishing to belong to the Third International

must be built upon the principle of democratic centralization. extremely centralized lines, if it is controlled by iron discipline will be in a position to do its duty only if it is organized along and if its party central body, supported by the confidence of In the present epoch of acute civil war the Communist party and the most far-reaching faculties. the party membership, is fully equipped with power, authority 12. The parties belonging to the Communist International

party of the petit bourgeois elements creeping into it. institute cleansings (new registrations) of the personnel of Communists carry on their work legally must from time to time their party organization in order to systematically rid the 13. The Communist parties of those countries where the

viet republic in its struggle against the counter-revolutionary national is obligated to offer unqualified support to every Soof war to the enemies of the Soviet Republic; and furthermore, paganda for the hindering of the transportation of munitions forces. The Communist parties must carry on a clean-cut prothey must use all means, legal or illegal, to carry propaganda, 14. Every party wishing to belong to the Communist Inter-

15. Parties that have thus far still retained their old Social Democratic programs are now obligated to alter these programs within the shortest time possible and in accordance with the particular conditions of their countries, work out a new Communist program in the sense of the decisions of the Communist International. As a rule the program of every party belonging to the Communist International must be sanctioned by the regular congress of the Communist International, or by its executive committee. In case the program of any party is not sanctioned by the executive committee of the Communist International, the party concerned has the right to appeal to the congress of the Communist International.

16. All decisions of the congress of the Communist International, as well as the decisions of its executive committee, are binding upon all the parties belonging to the Communist International. The Communist International, which is working under conditions of the most acute civil war, must be constructed along much more centralized lines than was the case with the Second International. In this connection, of course, the Communist International and its executive committee must, in their entire activities, take into consideration the varied conditions under which the individual parties have to fight and labor, and adopt decisions of only general application regarding such questions as can be covered by such decisions.

17. In connection with this, all parties wishing to belong to the Communist International must change their names. Every party wishing to belong to the Communist International must bear the name: Communist party of such and such a country (section of the Third Communist International). The question of name is not only a formal matter, but is also to a high degree a political question of great importance The Communist

International has declared war upon the whole bourgeois world and all yellow Social Democratic parties. It is necessary to make clear to every plain workingman the difference between the Communist parties and the old official "Social Democratic" and "Socialist" parties that have betrayed the banner of the working class.

18. All the leading press organs of the parties of all countries are obligated to print all important official documents of the executive committee of the Communist International.

19. All parties that belong to the Communist International, or that have applied for admission to it, are obligated to call, as soon as possible, but at the latest not more than four months after the second congress of the Communist International, a special convention for the purpose of examining all these conditions. In this connection the central bodies must see to it that all the local organizations are made acquainted with the decisions of the second congress of the Communist International.

20. Those parties that have thus far wished to enter into the Third International, but have not radically changed their former tactics, must see to it that two-thirds of the members of their central committees and of all their important central bodies are comrades who unambiguously and publicly declared in favor of their parties' entry into the Third International before the second congress of the Communist International. Exceptions may be allowed with the approval of the executive committee of the Third International. The executive committee of the Communist International also has the right to make exceptions in the cases of the representatives of the "center" tendency named in paragraph 7.

21. Those party members who, on principle, reject the conditions and theses laid down by the Communist International

# 106 THE REDS BRING REACTION

are to be expelled from the party. The same thing applies especially to delegates to the special party convention.

### APPENDIX B

## SELECTED REFERENCES

### COMMUNIST DECLARATIONS

Sept. 11, 1919. It appears also in "The American Labor Year America was printed in full in The Christian Science Monitor, ably. The latter is probably an edited transcript of the former THE first program and manifesto of the Communist Party of Book" for 1919-20 (p. 418). The two texts differ consider-The platform and program of the defunct Communist La-

1919-20 (p. 415).

bor party appears in "The American Labor Year Book" for

ment upon, this manifesto were given by H. W. L. [Harry W. ed in an issue of The Communist, dated June 12 of that year, during "the last of May or the first of June," 1920, was printbut giving no place of publication. Extracts from, and com-Laidler] in The Socialist Review for August, 1920. The first manifesto of the United Communist party, adopted

going manifesto, was printed in August, 1920, in a fugitive periodical also named The Communist. Extracts from, and comment upon, this manifesto were printed by H. W. L. [Harry W. Laidler] in The Socialist Review for September, The manifesto of the Communist party, attacking the fore-

munist party, with some excerpts from a new declaration, tor, Feb. 2, 1921. taken from No. 13 of The Communist, printed without date or place of publication, appeared in The Christian Science Moni-An account of the second convention of the United Com-

A document, signed by the central executive committee of

the Communist Party of America, giving rules for the guidance of the underground work of the Communists, copies of which were seized by the police in the New York raids of April 30, 1921, was reprinted generally in the metropolitan press at the time. The text in full was given in The New York Times, May 1 of that year.

Copies of a similar document, though much more elaborate—the report of the "adjustment committee of the Communist party"—were seized in the Bridgeman (Mich.) raid of Aug. 22, 1922. The text was given in full in *The Boston Transcript*, Sept. 16.

The declaration of the executive committee of the Third International repudiating the Socialist Party of America and calling upon American revolutionists to join the United Communist party, appeared in No. 5 of The Russian Press Review (an official Bolshevik publication printed in English "either in Russia or on the border") for October, 1920, and was reprinted in The New York Call, Nov. 30 following. The text is given in full in "The Social Interpretation of History," by Maurice William (1921, p. 388).

For a brief but comprehensive treatment of official propaganda by the Soviet Government and the Third International, see the chapter "World Revolution" in "Out of Their Own Mouths," by Sampel Gompers and William English Walling (1921). Further material may be found in Senate Document No. 172, 66th Congress, second session, "Bolshevist Movement in Russia." Much of this propaganda has been reprinted scores of times in various articles and pamphlets. "A Letter to American Workingmen," by Nikolai Lenin, dated Aug. 20, 1918, is printed in the Lusk Committee report, Vol. I (p. 657), and in "The Social Interpretation of History" (1921, p. 308). "A New Letter to the Workers of Europe and Ameri-

## SELECTED REFERENCES

111

ca," by Nikolai Lenin, dated Jan. 21, 1919, is printed in the Lusk Committee report, Vol. I (p. 668).

## Deportation Cases of Alien Communists

JUDGE ANDERSON'S decision (June 23, 1920) in the Boston hearing known as the "Colyer trial" is given in 265 Federal Court Reporter (p. 17). A detailed summary appeared in The Monthly Labor Review for October, 1920.

Articles on the hearing, by Sidney Howard, appeared in The Survey, April 17, May 1, May 15 and July 3, 1920. The Survey of April 24 of that year had an article by Francis Fisher Kane on "The Communist Deportations. Mr. Post's Handling of the Cases as Acting Secretary of Labor."

The decision of the Secretary of Labor, William B. Wilson (Jan. 24, 1920), that membership in the Communist party is illegal (deportation case of Englebrert Preis, an Austrian) appeared in many of the daily papers Jan. 25 and also in *The Monthly Labor Review* for March, 1920.

An abstract of the decision of Secretary Wilson in the Carl Miller case (May 6, 1920), declaring membership in the then Communist Labor party legal, appeared in *The Christian Science Monitor* of that date.

#### THE I. W. W.

The letter by Alexander Sidney Lanier to President Wilson appeared in *The New Republic*, April 19, 1919, and in *The Appeal to Reason*, May 3 following.

Articles on the changed policy of the I. W. W. appeared in The Christian Science Monitor, May 20 and 29, 1919, and Jan. 22, 25, Feb. 7 and March 19, 1921. An article on the

# 112 THE REDS BRING REACTION

same subject, by Art Shiels, appeared in *The Socialist Review* for April-May, 1921.

### THE SOCIALIST PARTY

The various official declarations of the Socialist party up to 1917 regarding the war (including the St. Louis manifesto of April, 1914) may be found in the pamphlet, "The American Socialists and the War," published by the Rand School of Social Science (1917).

A great deal of matter on the controversy between the "Centrists" and the Left-Wingers (aside from that which appeared in the party organs such as The Call, The Milwaukee Leader, The Oakland World and others, may be found in the files of The Socialist Review from December, 1919, to April-May, 1921 (the last issue). The subject is briefly summarized in Harry W. Laidler's book, "Socialism in Thought and Action" (1920). The other side of the controversy appeared in the weekly organ, The Revolutionary Age (later The Communist), and in the monthly periodical, The Liberator.

## THE RED MENACE BEFORE NOVEMBER, 1920

Periodical Literature," Vol. V. (1919-21), gives more than 350 titles (though some of these are duplications) under various headings related to the general subject of revolutionism. My own collections are so voluminous that even an index of them would take up many pages of this book. Nothing more

## SELECTED REFERENCES

can be cited here than the titles of a few typical articles illustrative of the dread of this menace at that time:

"Foreign Language Press's Bolshevik Propaganda in U.S." New York Times, June 8, 1919.

"Fighting the Reds in Their Home Town." By Ole Hanson (four articles). World's Work, December, 1919-March, 1920.

"Radical Propaganda. How It Waxes." By Samuel Crowther. World's Work, April, 1920. (This article is especially well worth reading for its understanding of revolutionist psychology.)

"The Reds in America" (From the Standpoint of the Department of Justice.) By Arthur Wallace Dunn. Review of Reviews, February, 1920. In the same number is an article, "Radicalism Under Inquiry," by Clayton R. Lusk, chairman of the New York Legislative Committee which at the time was just concluding its investigation of seditious activities.

"Is Bolshevism in America Becoming a Real Peril? Extent of Our Social Unrest and Suggested Remedies." Current Opinion, July, 1919.

"Reds in New York Slums. How Insidious Doctrines Are Propagated in New York's East Side." By John Bruce Mitchell. Forum, April, 1919.